NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT DEPUTY COMMISSIONER OF TRIALS

One Police Plaza

New York, New York

WEDNESDAY

November 5, 2025

10:07 A.M.

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

RESPONDENT: DETECTIVE JAENICE SMITH

C-034320/2025

REPORTER: VANESSA WALKER

APPEARANCES:

BEFORE: HONORABLE ROSEMARIE MALDONADO

Deputy Commissioner-Trials

FOR THE DEPARTMENT: DAVID H. GREEN, ESO.

Department Advocate's Office

FOR THE RESPONDENT: ERIC SANDERS, ESQ.

THE SANDERS FIRM, P.C. 30 Wall Street, 8th Floor New York New York 10005

COURT OFFICER CARRINGTON: Good morning 1 2 I call before you case number C-034320 of 3 2025. Detective Jaenice Smith. HONORABLE MALDONADO: Counsel, please note 4 5 your appearances for the record. 6 MR. GREEN: For the Department, David 7 Green. Good morning. 8 MR. SANDERS: For Detective Smith, Eric Sanders. Good morning, Commissioner. 9 10 HONORABLE MALDONADO: And detective, I'm 11 Deputy Commissioner Maldonado. Good morning. Please 12 be seated. What are our preliminary matters? 13 MR. GREEN: There are a few pieces of 14 evidence we've exchanged, I guess, an evidentiary list. 15 HONORABLE MALDONADO: I'm sorry I left the 16 folder upstairs. We do have lists from both parties 17 and exhibit list from the Department. And Mr. Sanders, 18 your client's going to be Respondent's only witness. 19 Okay, proceed. 20 MR. GREEN: Mr. Sanders and I exchanged

MR. GREEN: Mr. Sanders and I exchanged exhibit lists and there are some indication that there could be a stipulation to certain exhibits to possibly save the court some time. I wanted to know if we can explore that as a preliminary matter.

21

22

23

2.4

25

HONORABLE MALDONADO: Yes, let's do that.

MR. GREEN: In addition to make a list of preliminary matters. There were additional exhibits that were stipulated by Mr. Sanders and on behalf of his client which raised certain issues that I respectfully submit are all well outside this court's jurisdiction and as such should not be considered as relevant evidence or exhibit.

2.4

HONORABLE MALDONADO: Why don't we take those later. Why don't we deal with the stipulations first.

MR. GREEN: Upon further review, there are certain items on the exhibits list that I will not be seeking to introduce.

HONORABLE MALDONADO: Okay.

MR. GREEN: The recording and transcript of Detective Smith's official interview, I would only offer into evidence should the Respondent, should the need not arise subject to the Respondent testifying so I will not be offering that.

HONORABLE MALDONADO: That makes sense.

MR. GREEN: Exhibit 1A and B, I won't be offering. Therefore Exhibit 2 I won't be offering in the case and chief. Exhibits 3A and 4A which is the recording of Assistant Chief's Henderson interview, I will be offering along with the corrected transcript

| | PROCEEDINGS |
|----|---|
| 1 | that would be Exhibit 5. Exhibit 6 and 7, I would be |
| 2 | offering. |
| 3 | HONORABLE MALDONADO: Is that upon |
| 4 | stipulation? |
| 5 | MR. SANDERS: Is he going to be here? |
| 6 | MR. GREEN: No, ma'am. Chief Henderson |
| 7 | will not be present to testify. |
| 8 | HONORABLE MALDONADO: But you are going to |
| 9 | move them into evidence? |
| 10 | MR. SANDERS: If he's not going to be |
| 11 | here, that's different. Then I have no objection. If |
| 12 | he's going to be here, then I would object. |
| 13 | HONORABLE MALDONADO: Makes sense. So |
| 14 | would I. |
| 15 | MR. GREEN: As I would as well, |
| 16 | Commissioner. |
| 17 | HONORABLE MALDONADO: So you're moving 3A, |
| 18 | 4A and 5 into evidence. |
| 19 | MR. GREEN: Correct. |
| 20 | HONORABLE MALDONADO: They are admitted |
| 21 | and it is upon stipulation they are admitted. |
| 22 | (Whereupon, Department's Exhibit 3A AC |
| 23 | Scott Henderson Official Interview Part 1 Recording and |
| 24 | Transcript 5/1/25 was received into evidence.) |

(Whereupon, Department's Exhibit 4A AC

| 1 | Scott Henderson Official Interview Part 2 Recording and |
|----|---|
| 2 | Transcript 5/1/25 was received into evidence.) |
| 3 | (Whereupon, Department's Exhibit 5 AC |
| 4 | Scott Henderson Official Interview Parts 1 and 2 |
| 5 | Corrected was received into evidence.) |
| 6 | MR. GREEN: Exhibits 6 and 7 those are |
| 7 | both recordings that have been provided to counsel and |
| 8 | the court. I offer both of those into evidence. They |
| 9 | are video recordings of text messages that were |
| 10 | provided by Respondent's during her official interview |
| 11 | to investigators. |
| 12 | HONORABLE MALDONADO: Is this upon |
| 13 | stipulation? |
| 14 | MR. SANDERS: That's fine. |
| 15 | HONORABLE MALDONADO: We will be admitting |
| 16 | 6 and 7. |
| 17 | (Whereupon, Department's Exhibit 6 Text |
| 18 | Messages between Det. Jaenice Smith and AC Scott |
| 19 | Henderson 2/3/24 to 7/18/24 was received into |
| 20 | evidence.) |
| 21 | (Whereupon, Department's Exhibit 7 Text |
| 22 | Messages between Det. Jaenice Smith and AC Scott |
| 23 | Henderson Part 2 7/18/24 to 3/25/26 was received into |
| 24 | evidence.) |
| 25 | MR. GREEN: Exhibit 8 I would be offering |

| | PROCEEDINGS |
|----|--|
| 1 | into evidence as well. |
| 2 | HONORABLE MALDONADO: Any objection to |
| 3 | that going in? |
| 4 | MR. SANDERS: It's a business record. I'm |
| 5 | not going to object to that. |
| 6 | HONORABLE MALDONADO: That's admitted upon |
| 7 | stipulation. |
| 8 | (Whereupon, Department's Exhibit 8 Dt. |
| 9 | Jaenice Smith Enrollment History was received into |
| 10 | evidence.) |
| 11 | MR. GREEN: Exhibits 9 and 10 are e-mails |
| 12 | between the Department investigator who will be called |
| 13 | to testify and Ms. Nieves from the ITB of the |
| 14 | Department. |
| 15 | HONORABLE MALDONADO: Any objection? |
| 16 | MR. SANDERS: Again, it's a government |
| 17 | record. I'm not going to object to it. |
| 18 | HONORABLE MALDONADO: Nine and 10 are |
| 19 | admitted. |
| 20 | (Whereupon, Department's Exhibit 9 Email |
| 21 | from Melody Nieves to Sgt. Christopher Morano re: |
| 22 | Remote Access was received into evidence.) |
| 23 | (Whereupon, Department's Exhibit 10 Email |
| 24 | from Melody Nieves to Sgt. Christopher Morano re: |
| 25 | Computer Login Data was received into evidence.) |
| | |

| | TROCELDINGS |
|----|--|
| 1 | MR. GREEN: I won't be offering in |
| 2 | Exhibit 11. I will not be offering. |
| 3 | HONORABLE MALDONADO: Okay, thank you. |
| 4 | MR. GREEN: And essentially the remainder |
| 5 | of the exhibits I will seek to offer in 12 through 19. |
| 6 | HONORABLE MALDONADO: Any objections to |
| 7 | those going in? |
| 8 | MR. SANDERS: I'm not going to object to |
| 9 | those going in. |
| 10 | HONORABLE MALDONADO: So Exhibits 12 |
| 11 | through 19 are admitted into evidence. |
| 12 | (Whereupon, Department's Exhibit 12 Text |
| 13 | Messages between Dt. Jaenice Smith and Sgt. Jun Fong |
| 14 | 4/17/24 was received into evidence.) |
| 15 | (Whereupon, Department's Exhibit 13 Text |
| 16 | Messages between Dt. Jaenice Smith and Sgt. Jun Fong |
| 17 | 6/27/24 was received into evidence.) |
| 18 | (Whereupon, Department's Exhibit 14 Text |
| 19 | Messages between Dt. Jaenice Smith and Sgt. Jun Fong |
| 20 | 11/6/24 to 12/21/24 was received into evidence.) |
| 21 | MR. GREEN: Now Mr. Sanders indicated that |
| 22 | he wished to offer in Exhibits 15 and 16, 17 and 18 |
| 23 | which are the adjudicated disciplinary matters of the |
| 24 | co-Respondents in this case so that would obviate that |
| 25 | need because it will already be in evidence. |
| | |

| | TROCEESTINGS |
|----|--|
| 1 | MR. SANDERS: Yes. I'll only be cross |
| 2 | reference back to Respondent's A and B. |
| 3 | HONORABLE MALDONADO: But they are going |
| 4 | in as these exhibits. |
| 5 | MR. SANDERS: Yes. |
| 6 | HONORABLE MALDONADO: Okay, does that |
| 7 | cover all of them A through D? |
| 8 | (Whereupon, Department's Exhibit 15 |
| 9 | Charges and Specifications and Plea Agreement AC |
| 10 | Scott Henderson was received into evidence.) |
| 11 | (Whereupon, Department's Exhibit 16 |
| 12 | Adjudicated Command Discipline Lt. Latisha Witten |
| 13 | was received into evidence.) |
| 14 | (Whereupon, Department's Exhibit 17 |
| 15 | Adjudicated Command Discipline Sgt. Jun Fong was |
| 16 | received into evidence.) |
| 17 | (Whereupon, Department's Exhibit 18 |
| 18 | Adjudicated Command Discipline Sgt. Donovan Hunt was |
| 19 | received into evidence.) |
| 20 | (Whereupon, Department's Exhibit 19 Dt. |
| 21 | Jaenice Smith Payment Analysis was received into |
| 22 | evidence.) |
| 23 | MR. SANDERS: Yes, and then I guess the |
| 24 | Department wants to object to E through J. We don't |
| 25 | have to waste time. I can get testimony from my |
| | |

| 1 | client. I don't have to have those admitted or even |
|----|---|
| 2 | seek to get them admitted. |
| 3 | HONORABLE MALDONADO: Mr. Sanders, what I |
| 4 | have before me only has A through D. |
| 5 | MR. SANDERS: Oh, they didn't get the |
| 6 | updated one. Here you go (indicating). |
| 7 | (Whereupon, the aforementioned exhibit was |
| 8 | presented by Counsel.) |
| 9 | MR. SANDERS: On that Police Commissioner, |
| 10 | those are the only ones the Department are objecting to |
| 11 | that I have in blue. So yeah, I'll just withdraw them. |
| 12 | I'm not going to waste time, I'm not going to waste the |
| 13 | court's time. The testimony is my client's testimony. |
| 14 | MR. GREEN: I guess we'll deal with |
| 15 | whatever we need to deal with. |
| 16 | HONORABLE MALDONADO: Yes. When we have |
| 17 | the witness here. |
| 18 | MR. GREEN: Just for the court's |
| 19 | knowledge, I wanted to go through the witness list to |

knowledge, I wanted to go through the witness list to let the court know which witnesses I intend to call and

the approximate order.

HONORABLE MALDONADO: Well, number one is

23 out.

20

21

MR. GREEN: Yes, ma'am.

25 HONORABLE MALDONADO: Go ahead.

1 MR. GREEN: The first witness will be 2 number five, Sergeant Morano. 3 HONORABLE MALDONADO: Okav. I hope the second witness will 4 MR. GREEN: 5 be number 14, executive director Lodispoto. HONORABLE MALDONADO: 6 Okay. 7 MR. GREEN: And I hope to call number four 8 Lieutenant Witten. Then number seven followed by 9 number eight Sergeant Morano among and hunt, Fong. And 10 then I would call the police officers listed 9 11 through 12 depending upon which officers are here today. If we can reach them today. 12 13 HONORABLE MALDONADO: All right. We have 14 a busy day. Are we ready to proceed with openings? 15 MR. SANDERS: I'm ready. 16 HONORABLE MALDONADO: Okay. 17 proceed. 18 MR. GREEN: Thank you, Commissioner. 19 again. Good morning everyone. I'm going to begin by 20 stating that there are a number of facts in this case 21 which are not in dispute. And they are as follows: 22 The Respondent in this case Detective Jaenice 23 Smith was assigned to Patrol Borough Brooklyn North 2.4 security detail on February 7, 2024. The Respondent 25 remained assigned to that security detail from

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

February 7, 2024 to March 25, 2025, when she was placed on modified assignment by members of the Internal Affairs Bureau. The Respondent did not appear for work Never appeared for work at all during that However, the Respondent was manually entire period. entered in as present for duty at the beginning of each of her tours and at the end of each of her tours that she had been assigned to work by another uniformed member of service. Also not in dispute is that the Respondent performed zero official duties at all from February 7, 2024 through March 25, 2025. However, also not in dispute is that the Respondent was paid as if she had performed her official duties and was present for duty during each of her assigned tours at work during that period. The Respondent never applied for any type of leave or official legal accommodation for her absence of work during that entire period of time.

Also not in dispute is that there are absolutely no duties that a member of the Patrol Borough Brooklyn North security detail that can be performed from home. Also not in dispute is that the false entries indicating that the Respondent was present for duty, worked her tour and left her tour at the end of each assignment were made in the attendance application used by Department timekeepers. Those

2.4

Department timekeepers use that to prepare time sheets and to enter the information into the CityTime system. The CityTime system is used to inform and create information in the payroll management system which generates paychecks. And also informs the pension section which creates pensionable times. Those false entries that were created in the system were used to create time, pay and benefits for the Respondent during this period February 7, 2024, through March 25, 2025, and she performed no work at all during that period of time. The total value of all time, pay and benefits of the Respondent that she received during this period of time exceeded \$160,000 without working a single day. None of those facts are in dispute.

Yet, the Respondent says that she is the victim in this case. Why does she say that? The evidence will show that the Respondent requested and was granted by Chief Henderson, who was a friend of her family, a transfer from her assignment working in the operations unit at the wheel at One Police Plaza to Patrol Borough Brooklyn North security the midnight tour there specifically. That request was made in early 2024 after the Respondent's mother had been diagnosed with cancer. Assistant Chief Henderson told the Respondent. Rather he was informed that the

Respondent's mother had been suffering with cancer and told her that he wanted to do anything he can for her and she informed him, that is the Respondent informed the chief, that she wanted to be her sole caregiver at home.

2.4

The Respondent was hold by Chief Henderson to take the time as needed to care for her mother. He told her that she should work out her schedule with her sergeants. No application for leave accommodation was ever prepared by anyone. No supervisor at the Patrol Borough Brooklyn North, not by Chief Henderson, not by the Respondent.

At the time the Respondent a veteran with about 18 years with the NYPD interpreted or wants you to believe that she interpreted Chief Henderson's directions as follows: That she was granted a no-show job as a detective assigned to a security detail who never had to appear for work but accrue time, pay and benefits. And that that no-show job was going to going to last more than 13 months. She will have this court believe that it was okay and appropriate to falsify records to make it appear that she was present for duty and working as a public servant and a public official when in fact she was not for over 13 months.

This case leaves one question for this court

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

to answer essentially: Is it reasonable for a public officer to have a no-show job with this Department for over 13 months when a chief says to you do what you need to do to take care of your mother? Commissioner, the evidence will show clearly that the Respondent failed to appear for work from 2/7/2024 to 3/25/25. That she caused false entries to be made in Department records by calling in at the beginning of each tour, having the police officer at the command mark her present manually for duty. And at the conclusion of each tour, telephone and have a similar entry made at the conclusion of each tour. The evidence will show that she received over \$160,000 in time, pay benefits during that period of time she did not earn. The evidence will show that she failed to request any extend of leave of absence or accommodation, and instead chose to rely on Chief Henderson's open ended and completely unauthorized statement.

Moreover, the evidence will show, that after the death of her mother in early December 2024, the Respondent continued to fail to appear for work. The evidence will show that the Respondent visited Chief Henderson at his office on or about December 26, 2024 and again on or about March 7, 2025. You will hear that the Respondent claimed that she was initially

emotionally not ready to return to work and that she had family matters to attend to during the winter and spring that were to come. You will hear that the Respondent claims that she and the chief agreed that she would return to work on April 18, 2025.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

You will also hear that after the early March meeting with Chief Henderson, the Respondent had contacted Sergeant Hunt who is her immediate supervisor, and had asked him to prepare for her a pension statement telling her how much time she had and other things related to her retirement. Sergeant Hunt did as he was requested of her. After speaking to Chief Henderson, the Respondent approached Sergeant Hunt and actually looked at him and said "sergeant what are the responsibilities of someone who works Patrol Borough Brooklyn North security." This is after she had been assigned to work there for over 13 months and did not appear for a single day. She didn't know what her job was.

The only reason the Respondent returned to work was that she was placed on modified assignment by the members of the Internal Affairs Bureau on or about March 25, 2025 and reassigned to the Queens Court Section. Again , a question that needs to be answered. Did the Respondent's actions comport with Department's

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

guidelines and with the New York Penal Law or were they completely unreasonable in a clear and obvious abuse which indicated a shocking and superior improper criminal sense of entitlement. I submit to you, Commissioner, the Respondent's guilty of all of the specifications. And no recommendation should be made other than finding her guilty and recommending her immediate termination of employment. Thank you.

HONORABLE MALDONADO: Thank you. Sir.

I'm sitting here listening MR. SANDERS: to the Department's present its case trying to figure out what to do about this. Think off the cup like I do sometimes or should I actually read something. this case is disappointing on so many levels that it's actually disheartening to have to try this case, but we have to do what we have to do. He's a clash of employment law and Department rules. And I know the Department loves to talk about rule, guidelines. you're not going to hear. Unfortunately, we're not going to hear from the very person who is the executive who made this decision. I know the Department likes to characterize Chief Henderson as her friend, but he's not her friend, he's not her personal friend. He was a supervisor. He's an executive that's responsible for understanding and enforcing the rules and regulations

in the New York City Police Department. That's what he's supposed to be doing. Let's talk about it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

He's not going to be here to be questioned so we have to kind of try to fill things in. So this is what we will have to say about this case when you listen to. Most of the facts are not in dispute at all. What's in dispute is how it's characterized that's the difference. So this case is not anywhere near related to theft, fraud or falsification as the Department claims it is. It's about power. That's what it's about. Who wields it. Who protects and who would destroy when in question. Under Tisch administration, the Department has divided the old practice in a new vocabulary. The systemic under handing of black executives, decision making under guise of accountability.

MR. GREEN: Objection.

MR. SANDERS: I have my opening. He has a theory.

HONORABLE MALDONADO: Go ahead.

MR. SANDERS: When Assistant Chief like Scott Henderson exercised the lawful discretion. And when your witnesses get up here, we're going to see there's no rule that supports what the Department is talking about. Discretion is granted of humane

accommodation to a subordinate, Tisch Administration calls it misconduct. Now Detective Smith is a thief and a liar. So between February 2024 and March 2025 Detective Specialist Jaenice Smith did exactly what the Department values.

2.4

Under Federal, State and Local Laws, it requires that she disclose, she sought guidance and she followed explicit authorization of her commanding officer while caring for her dying mother, and later recovering from diagnosed PTSD and caregiver burnout. Her actions were transparent, her condition verified and her leave approved. Yet under this administration, her tenure transparency became incrimination; compassion or liability.

When Internal Affairs labeled this time theft as granted by a command of authority, what is now calls unauthorized leave, was a reasonable accommodation under the American Disabilities Act, the New York State Human Rights Law as well as the New York City Human Rights Law. No Patrol Guide, Administrative Guide or Finest Messages conveys authorizations. And when your witnesses get up here, they won't be able to point you to any rule. No directive required a caregiver to quote "work" while watching a parent die. Faced with this silence, this administration invented a rule after

the fact transferring a lawful act of discretion into a fabricated breach of integrity.

When that fabrication wasn't enough, that fiction wasn't enough, the Department went further. Changing Detective Smith's retirement date and other things related to it. And even putting on an unauthorized leave of absence that she didn't apply for. All this took 24 vesting rights and trying to justify a false narrative of misconduct. This prosecution is not about enforcement. It's a form of politics. That's all it is.

And we believe after you hear all the available evidence from the witnesses, it's more important not about what they say, it's what they don't say; which is there is no rule that has anything to do with the discretion. There is no particular rule. And we hope after you hear all the available evidence in this case that you find Detective Smith not guilty of all charges. Thank you.

HONORABLE MALDONADO: Thank you,
Mr. Sanders. Are we ready to proceed with our
witnesses?

MR. GREEN: Yes ma'am.

HONORABLE MALDONADO: Please call your

25 | first witness.

1 MR. GREEN: The Department calls Sergeant 2 Christopher Morano. Could I say something regarding 3 exhibits. HONORABLE MALDONADO: Go ahead. 4 5 There are at least one or two MR. GREEN: 6 things that are already stipulated to that I want him 7 to look at that are recordings. So I don't know if you 8 want him to reference them or if you want them put up 9 on the screen so you can see what he's talking about 10 statement. 11 HONORABLE MALDONADO: Do you have a screen 12 shot, paper copies of the screen? 13 MR. GREEN: No because they were videos. 14 HONORABLE MALDONADO: Whatever you think 15 I'm going to have them, I'm going to be is best. 16 I don't reading them, I'm going to be addressing them. 17 need to have extensive projections of exhibits. 18 have any copies for me to look at here, that's fine. 19 And if I believe I need them, then I will certainly ask 20 you to do so. Thank you. 21 {Whereupon, SERGEANT CHRISTOPHER MORANO 22 was sworn in by HONORABLE ROSEMARIE MALDONADO.) 23 SERGEANT MORANO: Yes, ma'am. 2.4 COURT OFFICER CARRINGTON: State your 25 first and last name.

| | DIRECT EXAMINATION - SGT. C. MORANO |
|----|---|
| 1 | SERGEANT MORANO: Sergeant Christopher |
| 2 | Morano. |
| 3 | COURT OFFICER CARRINGTON: Spell your |
| 4 | first and last name. |
| 5 | SERGEANT MORANO: C-H-R-I-S-T-O-P-H-E-R, |
| 6 | M-O-R-A-N-O. |
| 7 | HONORABLE MALDONADO: Mr. Green. |
| 8 | MR. GREEN: Thank you, Commissioner. |
| 9 | DIRECT EXAMINATION BY |
| 10 | MR. GREEN: |
| 11 | Q. Good morning, sergeant. |
| 12 | A. Good morning, sir. |
| 13 | Q. Sergeant Morano, how long have you been |
| 14 | assigned to the Internal Affairs Bureau? |
| 15 | A. Approximately ten years. |
| 16 | Q. And where in the Internal Affairs Bureau are |
| 17 | you assigned? |
| 18 | A. Group 1. |
| 19 | Q. Is that also known as the Special |
| 20 | Investigations Unit? |
| 21 | A. That's correct. |
| 22 | Q. What are your duties and responsibilities in |
| 23 | that unit? |
| 24 | A. Specifically to investigate executives for |
| 25 | misconduct. |

- Q. Approximately how long have you served with the NYPD?
 - A. Seventeen years.

- Q. Sergeant, actually could you briefly tell you us where you've been assigned before you were assigned to the IAB?
- A. Started off as a police officer in Transit
 District 30, then I was promoted to sergeant. I went
 to the 28th Precinct approximately two years. And I'd
 been in IAB Group 54 for approximately nine years. And
 I am now currently in SIU for a year.
- Q. Now did there come a time in early 2025 that you were assigned a case concerning the Respondent in this case, Detective Jaenice Smith?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Approximately when were you assigned this case?
 - A. March 25th of 2025.
- Q. When you were assigned this matter, how many subjects were there in the investigation at that point?
 - A. One.
 - Q. Who was that?
 - A. Detective Jaenice Smith.
- Q. What, if any, allegations of misconduct were there against Detective Smith in the matter that you

1 | were assigned to investigate?

2.4

- A. Generally speaking time theft.
- Q. Could you be more specific what the allegations were?
- A. Well, the initial, the allegation was that she had not. We got an anonymous complaint that stated she had not gone to work for two years but was being signed in as if she was going to work.
- Q. Did you conduct an investigation or take investigative steps in order to gather evidence in connection with this?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Briefly tell us what those investigative steps were.
 - A. So the first step would've been to look at her attendance app.
 - Q. What is the attendance app?
 - A. The attendance app is exactly what it sounds. It monitors the attendance of members of the service. You have to scan in and out to verify that you were present for duty. There's three different ways to do that. There is with your ID card. There is manual where someone can type in your tax ID that's specific to you. And there is what's called A-I-M, which is when you use your phone from a distance to scan in.

- Q. Did you generate any reports from that attendance application regarding the attendance of Detective Smith from February 2024 through March 2025?
 - A. Yes, I did.

2.4

MR. GREEN: Commissioner, how would you like me to handle this. I have extra copies that I can show directly to the witness or should he be shown whichever ones the courts.

HONORABLE MALDONADO: You can show them to the witness, but I'd also appreciate a copy.

Especially all the exhibits that we have entered into evidence should be here. We can do that one by one.

(Whereupon, the aforementioned exhibit was presented to the witness on the stand.)

- Q. Sergeant, is that the report that was generated of the attendance application printout for Detective Smith during the period in question?
 - A. Yes, it is.
- Q. When you generated this particular report, what, if anything, seemed unusual to you about it?
- A. So from February 7, 2024, until March 21, 2025, every single one of Detective Smith's entries into the attendance app were done manually.
- Q. And could you show the court specifically where on that printout it indicates that those were all

- 1 | manual entries?
 - A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. If you can just describe it.
 - So where it says date and time in, it will have the date. For example the last date is the 3/21/25 and then there's an, at 1800 and then in parenthesis M-A-N and that MAN stands for manual which means that her tax ID was typed into the system. And then it's the same with time out. It will say date and time out, in this case the last one is 3/22/25 at 06 in the morning and again parenthesis MAN which means a And then if you look further across the list, it will actually have the location where this was done. It will say location in, Patrol Borough Brooklyn North which is where Detective Smith would've been manually signed in. Meaning that supposedly she is at Patrol Borough Brooklyn North for a tour of. And you see here time present 12 hours.
 - Q. Is it unusual for a member of service, particularly uniformed member of service to be manually signed in at his or her command by another member of service?
- MR. SANDERS: Objection. That calls for his speculation.
- 25 HONORABLE MALDONADO: I'll allow it if he

1 knows.

- A. For this time amount straight, yes, I would say it's highly, highly suspicious.
- Q. Does it happen occasionally from time to time for uniformed members of the service to sign in or to have someone else sign them in or out?
- A. On occasion. On occasion it can be, it can be done. For example, if you're outside wire, you can call your command. And typically that would be noted in a comment section that you were signing in outside wire. It can happen, you can sign in manually.
- Q. When you made the observation for all of these entries that were made manually, what additional steps did you take, if any, to ascertain whether or not Detective Smith had actually signed herself in manually at the command or have someone else do it?
- A. What we did was we try to ascertain if she was going to Patrol Borough Brooklyn North and we did that through LPR. I believe her domestic partner has a vehicle. And an LPR is a license plate reader. If you go to Patrol Borough Brooklyn North, typically it will be picked up at sometime. Not necessarily every time, but at sometime within the span of a year, the license plate will be picked up on an LPR, a license plate reader. There are no hits for that vehicle.

We then conducted a MetroCard check to see if possibly she was using a MetroCard to take the bus or a subway. There were no hits on that either. We were then able to check, there was video obviously at Patrol Borough Brooklyn North. We were able to correlate the sign-in times with the attendance app with video going back 30 days to see when if she was actually present on video at the times when she was being signed in. And she was not.

We were then, the video that we were able to look at shows the front door but there is a reflection because it's glass. And we were actually able to see after we obtained the phone records of the desk, we were able to verify that she was calling at around the exact same time she was being plugged in the attendance app that way we were able to verify that she was not present at the time.

- Q. In connection with your investigation, did you contact the Information Technology Bureau to determine whether Detective Smith had been given authorization for remote access to access the Department's computer systems from another location?
 - A. Yes, we did.

2.4

Q. Did you also make contact the Information Technology Bureau to determine whether or not

DIRECT EXAMINATION - SGT. C. MORANO Detective Smith actually did access Department computer systems from any remote location during this period? A. ITB could not find any records of her

Q. Handing you two different documents what has previously been deemed into evidence as Department's 9 and 10.

accessing, remote accessing a computer.

(Whereupon, the aforementioned exhibit was presented to the witness on the stand.)

- Q. Sergeant Morano, do you see those documents?
- A. Yes, sir.

2.4

- Q. Are those documents e-mails referencing the investigative steps that you just described that you took?
 - A. Yes, they are.
- Q. Now what other investigative steps, if any, did you take in connection with establishing that Detective Smith did not appear for work during this period?
- A. Well, once we were able to observe the video of her not at work when she was being signed it, we then spoke to members of the unit that she was assigned.
- Q. Did you speak to, when you say "you," was it you personally or you as well as other members of Group

1 1?

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- 2 A. Myself and other members of Group 1.
- 3 Q. Who did you speak with?
- 4 A. Officer Dolce, Gibbons.
 - Q. That's Gibson?
 - A. Gibbons. Richard and.
 - Q. Rosas?
 - A. Yes, Rosas. That's correct.
 - Q. Did you also speak with Sergeant Fong?
- 10 A. Yes, Sergeant Fong, Sergeant Hunt and
 11 Lieutenant Witten.
 - Q. And let's start with the police officers that you made reference to. Did you speak to those police officers individually in connection with this matter?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Were they named as subjects or witnesses in your investigation?
 - A. Witnesses.
 - Q. Did you ask each of them if they had ever seen Detective Smith appear for duty during the period in question?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. What, if anything, did each say?
- A. They said they had never seen her. She had never reported to duty. Some had never even seen her

1 period.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- Q. Did you ask those police officers anything regarding entries in the attendance application for Detective Smith?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. What did you learn?
 - A. That they had been informed that they were to sign her. She was going to call and they were to sign her in and sign her out when she called.
 - Q. Did you speak with Sergeant Fong regarding entries in the attendance application for Detective Smith?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. What, if anything, did he say?
 - A. He said that he was told by Lieutenant Witten that Detective Smith as per Chief Henderson had been given permission to work from home.
 - Q. Did you speak with Sergeant Hunt?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And what, if anything, did Sergeant Hunt say regarding the Respondent's appearance at work?
 - A. He said that he was told by Hunt. I'm sorry. He said he was told by Fong essentially the same thing that Fong was told by Witten.
 - Q. Did you speak with Lieutenant Witten in

DIRECT EXAMINATION - SGT. C. MORANO 1 connection with this matter? 2 Α. Yes. 3 Ο. Did Lieutenant Witten say anything about her understanding of the Respondent's arrangement? 4 5 Yes. She said that she spoke with 6 Chief Henderson. And that as per Chief Henderson, 7 Detective Smith would be working from home. 8 she was to be signed in and out of the attendance app. Based on your interviews of those individuals 9 Ο. 10 that you just referenced, were there any additional 11 subjects named in your investigation? 12 Α. Yes. 13 Q. Who was named as a subject? 14 Chief Henderson. As well as Α. 15 Lieutenant Witten and Sergeant Hunt and Sergeant Fong. 16 Did Chief Henderson receive formal Ο. 17 discipline, that is charges and specifications with 18 this matter? 19 Α. Yes, he did. 20 Did Lieutenant Witten, Sergeant Fong and Ο. 21 Sergeant Hunt each receive a command discipline in connection with this matter? 22

whether or not the Respondent ever applied for any type

Did your investigation include looking into

Yes, they did.

Α.

23

2.4

DIRECT EXAMINATION - SGT. C. MORANO of extended leave of absence or legal accommodation in connection with her mother's illness?

A. Yes.

2.4

- Q. What, if anything, did your investigation reveal regarding whether or not the Respondent had applied for any type of lawful legal accommodation or any type of extended leave of absence?
 - A. There was none.
- Q. Did your investigation include an interview of the Respondent in this case, the Detective Smith?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Did Detective Smith admit during her interview that she had never once appeared once at work for duty during the entire period of question?
 - A. Yes. That's correct.
- Q. Did her interview include her knowledge that the entries were made for her indicating she was present for duty?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Did she acknowledge that she and no one else on her behalf had ever submitted any request for any formal or legal accommodation or extended leave of absence during this period?
- A. I believe she said that she thought possibly Chief Henderson had.

- O. Did she indicate why she thought that?
- A. Well, she had not. I believe she said that Chief Henderson, she thought because Chief Henderson was allowing her to do it that he had set everything up. Something to that effect.
 - Q. Did your investigation -- withdrawn.

During the course of Detective Smith's official interview, did Detective Smith provide video recordings, two video recordings of her text messaging with Assistant Chief Henderson during this period of time?

A. Yes, she did.

2.4

- Q. What, if anything, during those text messages did Detective Smith say about whether or not she had to use her own leave during this period?
- A. So there are two videos of her text messages with Chief Henderson. In the first video at approximately the 2:50 second mark, she, I believe it was on February 17. She writes a text message to Chief Henderson thanking him and saying something to the effect of "I would have had to use a lot of time if I were anybody else." Which well.
- Q. Was there anything else remarkable about those text messages?
 - A. With regards to. I can tell you that at one

DIRECT EXAMINATION - SGT. C. MORANO

point, I believe it's video number two at approximately

00:27 seconds, Chief Henderson indicates that because

Detective Smith isn't coming in there's a manpower

issue.

- Q. You've indicated that Assistant

 Chief Henderson was named as a subject. Was he also interviewed in connection with this matter?
 - A. Yes, he was.

2.4

- Q. What, if anything, did Assistant Chief
 Henderson say regarding the directions he gave the
 Respondent regarding any leave that she was taking?
- A. That as long as it didn't interfere with the manpower and as long as she worked it out with supervisors that she could remain at home.
- Q. Did Assistant Chief Henderson indicate whether or not he knew that the Respondent had never appeared for work once during this entire period?
 - A. He said that he was not aware of that.
- Q. Was Assistant Chief Henderson asked specifically about his meeting with the Respondent in his office on or about December 26, 2024?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And did he indicate what, if anything, the Respondent said to him about her future plans regarding returning to work?

A. Yes.

2.4

- Q. What, if anything, did the chief say?
- A. Can I give a little context to that meeting?
- Q. Sure.
- A. So Detective Smith was allowed to stay home because of her mother's illness. Her mother passed in December, approximately 18th.
 - O. You sure it wasn't December 6th?
- A. It's possible. It's in the beginning of December. Then after her mother's passing, there was a meeting at the end of December between Chief Henderson and Detective Smith. And there was also a second meeting that was organized around the end of February 2025. You're asking me about February 2025?
- Q. No. I'm asking first about the December meeting.
- A. December, okay. In December, Detective Smith was attempting to go to a Community Affairs position she wanted to go to Community Affairs. And the reason she gave was she didn't want to return to the borough was because it reminded her of her mother. Her mother was an avid member of the community. And so she was trying to go to Community Affairs.
- Q. Did she communicate whether or not she intended to return to work when she met with the chief

- in December after her mother had passed away?
 - A. She said she was having a hard time going back to work.
 - O. Did she return to work?
 - A. No, she did not.

2.4

- Q. You said that the Respondent met with Chief Henderson, you said it was the end of February. Was it the end of February or in March, if you know?
- A. In the text messages they indicate one of the last text messages was the end of February. She requested a meeting as to when they met. I believe she said stated in her interview that it was the beginning of March.
- Q. What, if anything, took place at that meeting regarding the Respondent's returning to work?
- A. As per her interview, she stated that she told the chief she intended to take a lot of days off, put in a lot of 28s was the term in March. Because she intended to be out in large, he apparently allowed her to take the entire month and to stay out and he gave her a return date of April 18th.
- Q. Now what you're describing is that what Detective Smith represented or what the chief represented?
 - A. That is what Detective Smith represented.

DIRECT EXAMINATION - SGT. C. MORANO

- Q. Did the chief represent the same thing?
- A. No.

2.4

- Q. What did the chief represent about his understanding of whether the Respondent was returning to work?
- A. He stated that he believed that after her mother had passed that she, Detective Smith, had returned to work. Because in his words she had no reason to stay out beyond that.
- Q. Now you made reference to submission of 28s or leave of absence requests. Did Detective Smith submit leave of absence requests at all during the period she was absent from work, that is from February 2024 through March 2025?
 - A. Yes, she did.
- Q. What would trigger such a request for a leave of absence?
- A. If she is out during the hours of her tour. The hours of her tour she worked 12-hour tours so the hours of her tour were from 6:00 P.M. to 6:00 A.M. If she was not present from 6:00 P.M. to 6:00 A.M. she would be required to put in a 28 or a leave of absence.
- Q. Was there a mechanism for which she had someone submit such a leave for her a few times during her intense absence?

DIRECT EXAMINATION - SGT. C. MORANO

- A. Yes. She would contact Sergeant Fong.
- Q. Do you know if she contacted Sergeant Hunt in a similar fashion?
 - A. I would only be presuming.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

- Q. Essentially what did that, your investigation conclude regarding Detective Smith?
- That for approximately 13 months, she had Α. essentially a no-show job at the borough. She did not go through the proper channels to request leave in a situation like this. The Department offers avenues for Specifically family, if you contact family leave time. assistance, they offer to assign you to family assistance. And while assigned temporarily to family assistance, you can get up to two weeks off of time at home. Beyond that, you have to use your own time. Once you've used all of your own time, the detective in endowment association as most unions do, offer a pool of time that has been donated that you can use.

Once you exhaust all the time that the union is willing to donate to you, then you would go to the FMLA unit which is the family medical leave desk. And essentially you have to take a leave of absence from the Department which is unpaid. Because of the arrangement because of the that Detective Smith had with Chief Henderson, she was able to keep all of her

CROSS EXAMINATION - SGT. C. MORANO 1 time and not take a leave of absence. So continue 2 getting paid in full while not going to work. 3 Ο. Did your investigation reveal whether or not Chief Henderson and Detective Smith maintained 4 5 communication during this period? 6 Α. Yes. 7 That was via telephone, text messaging, Ο. various sources? 8 9 Α. Yes. 10 Do you recall approximately how many Q. 11 communications were discovered between the two of them during this period of time? 12 13 Α. It was fairly extensive. I don't recall the 14 exact number. 15 Is it fair to say there were several hundred Ο. communications between the two of them; two to 300 16 17 communications? 18 Α. It's possible. I would have to look at the 19 exact. 20 MR. GREEN: Nothing further, Commissioner. 21 HONORABLE MALDONADO: Mr. Sanders. 22 CROSS EXAMINATION BY 23 MR. SANDERS: 2.4 Ο. How are you?

Hello, sir.

Α.

- Q. I'm just going to ask you a few questions. I know you're in a difficult position, but I'm going to ask you a few things. Okay. Were you the lead investigator in this case?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. So I'm going to start off with the back part. There were 2 to 300, communications or somewhere in that neighborhood that you found out that occurred between Henderson and my client Smith, right?
- A. Yes.

2.4

- HONORABLE MALDONADO: I didn't hear the question.
 - Q. There were two to 300 communications between Henderson and Smith, right?
 - A. They communicated fairly regularly.
 - Q. So his representation that he doesn't know certain things about Smith, do you find that to be credible or incredibility?
 - A. Incredible.
- Q. Did Smith tell you she had communications with Henderson?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. So now, let me ask you, you've been a sergeant how long now?
- A. Thirteen years.

- Q. And as a part of your training as a sergeant is to learn about the polices and procedures of the New York City Police Department, right?
 - A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

18

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

- Q. With respect to especially in this case employment matters, right?
- A. Yes. Well, specifically with leave of absence as it pertains to leave of absence.
- Q. What about reasonable accommodations, did they teach you about that?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. What did you learn about that?

MR. GREEN: Objection.

14 HONORABLE MALDONADO: It's a bit broad.

MR. SANDERS: That's what this case is about, Commissioner.

17 HONORABLE MALDONADO: It's a bit broad.

Narrow your question.

19 MR. SANDERS: Okay.

Q. I'll ask you this way. During the course of your investigation, can you point to a rule that suggests that Henderson could not grant the accommodation he gave to Smith, one rule, Patrol Guide, Administrative Guide, Finest Message, operation order, what have you?

- A. So there are channels that have to be gone through. You can't, there can't be one rule for a person who knows a chief and another rule for everybody else. So there are channels that have to go through and that is why the family assistance unit exists.

 That is why the detective endowment associations offers time. And if there are reasonable accommodations, they go through family assistance. Moreover, the reason family assistance unit exists is also so that you can be assigned to family assistance during a reasonable accommodation so that you are not carried on the roll call so that they're not missing manpower. That is why there are these units in place.
 - Q. Well, you didn't answer my question. So I'm going to ask a new question: You're a sergeant, right?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. You have a certain line of discretion as a supervisor?
 - A. Yes. A certain amount, sure.
 - Q. Is that written anywhere?
- A. Yes.
- MR. GREEN: Objection.
- HONORABLE MALDONADO: If he knows he can
- 24 answer.

25 A. You're saying do I have discretion as a

CROSS EXAMINATION - SGT. C. MORANO police officer? A reasonable amount of discretion. I'm asking as a part of your training you receive New York City Police Department you learn as a supervisor, you had a certain line of discretion with the Department policy, right? With Department policy? Α. Ο. Yes. A reasonable amount, sure. Α. Is that written anywhere, your discretion? Ο. Is it written that there is a reasonable Α. amount of discretion? Ο. Yes. Α. Not that I'm aware of. Do you know whether or not at his level, Q. Henderson's level as assistant chief, whether or not he has discretion to grant an accommodation?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

I'm going to object to the MR. GREEN: term accommodation. It's a very legally specific term and I would ask that it be referred to as an arrangement than an accommodation. MR. SANDERS: Her theory says accommodation. Yours is. I'm not making it personal.

HONORABLE MALDONADO: I'll allow it.

SERGEANT MORANO: I'm sorry. Can you repeat the question.

Q. Do you know based on your investigation whether at his level as an assistant chief he has the discretion to accommodate an employee that's dealing with a family matter?

2.4

- A. No, I don't believe in this situation he did.

 Obviously he did not have the discretion to do what he did.
- Q. Based on what rule that you can point to this court what rule did he violate, that's what I'm asking you?
- A. As I said, there are guard rails in place for accommodations that have to be followed. The fact that he did not follow any of those rules that are in place for this reason.
- Q. Do you know what the requirements are for an employee under the law, if an employee asks for an accommodation to say I need help with handling a family matter. Do they have to get a written request under the law?
- A. That would be a question for family assistance.
- Q. I'm asking in your investigation. Let me ask you a question: When you did your investigation and I understand you're not the investigator, you're not making the overall decision, I understand. As part of

CROSS EXAMINATION - SGT. C. MORANO your investigation did you go to Health and Wellness and have a discussion with them about what are the legal requirements for a person requesting an accommodation?

2.4

- A. Again, you'd have to. In order to get an accommodation as far as I'm aware, you have to go through family assistance. That was not done.
- Q. That's not what I'm asking you. I'm asking you when you came to your conclusion as part of your investigation did you go to Health and Wellness to ask them whether or not if an employee asks verbally for an accommodation whether that meets the requirements of the federal rules?
- A. That goes to specifically to Health and Wellness.

MR. GREEN:

judicial notice of Administrative Guide Section 332-21.

HONORABLE MALDONADO: I'm going to let him proceed.

I would ask the Court to take

MR. SANDERS: That's not the law.

HONORABLE MALDONADO: That you can argue and certainly I will take judicial notice of all rules and regulations. I'm sure you will supplement it. I will tell the sergeant, just answer what he asks. If it's a yes, it's yes. If it's no, no.

- A. Did I go to Health and Wellness, no.
- Q. What about the Legal Bureau, did you go to the Legal Bureau and have discussions with Legal Bureau and ask them whether or not Smith making an request for an accommodation to deal with a family matter is within her right under the Federal Law, did you ask that question?
- A. Did I go to the Legal Bureau personally, no.

 Obviously Legal Bureau was conferred with in regards to this matter.
- Q. Again, as a supervisor, part of your duties and responsibilities is managing the police department. Sometimes you have to fill out documents yourself, right?
 - A. Okay.

2.4

- Q. And the employees don't fill out the documents for whatever reason; hurt, injured incapacitated, mentally incapable to fill out the form; isn't that true?
 - A. I suppose, yes.
- Q. Wasn't that part of your training at B mock that sometimes you have to fill out forms for the employees because that's part of the manager's obligations, right?
 - A. Part of my training is to fill out forms for

1 employees? Is that what?

2.4

- Q. Workers' compensation, line of duty, witness statements. I mean there's a million different forms you can fill out as a supervisor?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Part of your training that's the manager's obligation that the employee is trying to assert some part of the law, it's her obligation as an employee to fill it out, right?
 - A. An obligation?
- Q. Yes.
 - A. You can fill out forms, I suppose.
 - Q. Also, you were questioned, there was an issue about work. And is there any rule that says if a person, during the course of your investigation I'm asking. During the course of your investigation did you find a rule that says what work even means or what constitutes work, just asking you a question?

MR. GREEN: I have to object to this.

HONORABLE MALDONADO: I didn't object to this it's really irrelevant as to.

MR. SANDERS: Commissioner, I'm going to say why it's not irrelevant. The Department make a big thing about work and there's an issue now about work.

I know Commissioner's going to eventually get to the

| | CROSS EXAMINATION - SGT. C. MORANO |
|----|--|
| 1 | legal issue with the work. But I'm asking whether or |
| 2 | not he find what constitutes. Because you're saying |
| 3 | she violated department policy not performing work. |
| 4 | And I'm asking did you see what work constitutes work, |
| 5 | and if it's even required. It's defense. |
| 6 | HONORABLE MALDONADO: If you can answer. |
| 7 | I think what you asked was much broader than that. You |
| 8 | need to focus it on the investigation and the work. |
| 9 | MR. SANDERS: I understand. The |
| 10 | Department used I'm using the word the same way the |
| 11 | Department uses it. |
| 12 | HONORABLE MALDONADO: It has to be related |
| 13 | to the specific case. |
| 14 | MR. SANDERS: It's related to this case. |
| 15 | I'm asking. |
| 16 | HONORABLE MALDONADO: No. As it relates |
| 17 | to these specific facts. |
| 18 | MR. SANDERS: I'm talking about these |
| 19 | facts. |
| 20 | Q. Did you ask anybody what constitutes work, |
| 21 | uses the word work? |
| 22 | A. During this time (crosstalk) |
| 23 | HONORABLE MALDONADO: With respect to what |
| 24 | Respondent's assignments were? |
| 25 | MR. SANDERS: Yes, that's what we're |

CROSS EXAMINATION - SGT. C. MORANO talking about, yeah.

HONORABLE MALDONADO: Okay.

- Α. She was assigned to the Patrol Borough Brooklyn North security detail. The duties and responsibilities of the Patrol Borough Brooklyn North security desk is to monitor the base, is to check the parking lots and to voucher property. That is the work of the Patrol Borough Brooklyn North. And the officers who work in the Patrol Borough Brooklyn North obviously asked what their duties and responsibilities were and that what the answer was that they gave. Furthermore, they were asked if you can perform any of those duties and responsibilities from home and the answer is no. Beyond that, I would add that, again, she did have access, remote access, through a computer and she did There was no history of her accessing the computer.
- Q. Getting back to Henderson for a minute. Did you ask him whether or not he contacted Health and Wellness on behalf of Smith?
 - A. I did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- Q. What did he say?
- A. He put it back on Detective Smith and said something along the lines of I assumed that she knew because she had been a former member of POPPA.

| | CROSS EXAMINATION - SGT. C. MORANO |
|----|--|
| 1 | HONORABLE MALDONADO: I'm sorry, I didn't |
| 2 | hear your response. |
| 3 | SERGEANT MORANO: He insinuated that he |
| 4 | thought she, Detective Smith, had contacted the |
| 5 | appropriate units. |
| 6 | MR. SANDERS: Nothing further. |
| 7 | MR. GREEN: Nothing further, Commissioner. |
| 8 | HONORABLE MALDONADO: Thank you, sir. You |
| 9 | are excused. |
| 10 | (Whereupon, SERGEANT CHRISTOPHER MORANO |
| 11 | left the witness stand.) |
| 12 | HONORABLE MALDONADO: Why don't we take a |
| 13 | five-minute break. And why don't we line up the next |
| 14 | witness. |
| 15 | (Whereupon, a short recess was taken.) |
| 16 | HONORABLE MALDONADO: Back on the record. |
| 17 | Do we have our next witness. |
| 18 | MR. GREEN: Yes. The Department calls |
| 19 | executive director Joseph Lodispoto. |
| 20 | {Whereupon, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR |
| 21 | JOSEPH LODISPOTO was sworn in by HONORABLE ROSEMARIE |
| 22 | MALDONADO.) |
| 23 | EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR LODISPOTO: Yes, I do. |
| 24 | COURT OFFICER CARRINGTON: Say your first |
| 25 | and last name. |

| | ТО | | DIRECT EXAMINATION - EXEC. DIRECTOR J. LODISPO |
|----|----|------------|--|
| 1 | | | EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR LODISPOTO: Joseph |
| 2 | | Lodispoto | • |
| 3 | | | COURT OFFICER CARRINGTON: Spell your |
| 4 | | first and | last name. |
| 5 | | | EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR LODISPOTO: |
| 6 | | J-0-S-E-P | -H, L-O-D-I-S-P-O-T-O. |
| 7 | | | HONORABLE MALDONADO: You may proceed |
| 8 | | counsel. | |
| 9 | | | MR. GREEN: Thank you, Commissioner. |
| 10 | | DIRECT EX | AMINATION BY |
| 11 | | MR. GREEN | : |
| 12 | | Q. | Good morning, Director Lodispoto. |
| 13 | | Α. | Good morning. |
| 14 | | Q. | Sir, how long have you served with the NYPD? |
| 15 | | Α. | Approximately 20 years. |
| 16 | | Q. | And where have you worked in those 20 years? |
| 17 | | Α. | I worked in the payroll and benefits section. |
| 18 | | Q. | What is your current position? |
| 19 | | Α. | I'm the executive director of payroll and |
| 20 | | benefits. | |
| 21 | | Q. | What are your duties as executive director of |
| 22 | | payroll a | nd benefits? |
| 23 | | Α. | I ensure individuals are paid properly on a |
| 24 | | biweekly l | pasis and ensure to make sure that individuals |
| 25 | | that want | to have health insurance have health |

DIRECT EXAMINATION - EXEC. DIRECTOR J. LODISPO ΙΤΟ 1 insurance. And do you have any professional degrees, 2 3 certificates or anything else that are related to your 4 position? 5 I have a bachelor's in accounting. I have a 6 master's in finance. 7 Ο. So you're the one who makes sure we all get 8 paid here? Yes, I do. 9 Α. 10 Thank you, sir. Did there come a time Ο. earlier in 2025 that you were contacted by members of 11 12 the Department Advocate's Office regarding a case 13 concerning Assistant Chief Henderson and Detective 14 Jaenice Smith? 15 Yes, sir. Α. 16 Were you asked to perform certain Q. 17 calculations regarding the value of time, pay and benefits accrued particularly by Detective 18 Jaenice Smith during a specific period of time? 19 2.0 Α. Yes, I was. 21 Is that part of your ordinary duties and 22 responsibilities to do such calculations? 2.3 Yes. I'm requested at times from Department 24 Advocate's Office and IAB.

I'm going to take a step back for one second.

25

Ο.

| | ТО | DIRECT EXAMINATION - EXEC. DIRECTOR J. LODISPO |
|----|----|--|
| 1 | | What is the name of the computer system or database |
| 2 | | that is utilized by the payroll and benefit section to |
| 3 | | calculate such time, pay and benefits? |
| 4 | | A. Well, the system we use is called CHRMS and |
| 5 | | it's based off of the payroll management system. |
| 6 | | Q. And where is the data that is entered into |
| 7 | | the payroll management system collected, where does |
| 8 | | that data come from? |
| 9 | | A. It comes from CityTime where individuals put |
| 10 | | time in CityTime and it interfaces into the payroll |
| 11 | | management system. |
| 12 | | Q. Some employees of the NYPD use CityTime |
| 13 | | themselves and enter it themselves and other use an |
| 14 | | attendance application; isn't that correct? |
| 15 | | A. Not to get into CityTime. The attendance app |
| 16 | | is not used to go into CityTime. It actually has to be |
| 17 | | inputted by an individual by a timekeeper or by the |
| 18 | | member themselves. |
| 19 | | Q. In a command in which the attendance |
| 20 | | application is used, would the timekeeper take that |
| 21 | | information and enter it into CityTime? |
| 22 | | A. They possibly could. They're not supposed to |
| 23 | | do it off of the attendance app. But they can possibly |
| 24 | | take it and put it into the system. |

Once information is entered into CityTime,

25

Q.

DIRECT EXAMINATION - EXEC. DIRECTOR J. LODISPO how, if at all, is that information then transferred or utilized by the payroll management system?

- A. It's interfaced by the office of payroll administration which is our parent agency. They will actually process through FISA, they process the information and it interfaces from CityTime into the payroll management system.
 - Q. FISA?
- A. FISA is the financial arm. It's a financial arm of the office of payroll administration.
- Q. How if at all is the information that the payroll management systems uses shared with the application pension fund or the pension section?
- A. They have access to the payroll management system. They have the same access we have as to what goes into payroll management system.
- Q. If you were to trace information that was entered out of a particular command by a particular police officer regarding his or her attendance, that information is then translated through various databases to various places, correct?
- A. It's translated into the payroll management system. And you mentioned pension before. Pension has access to the same system that we have access to.
 - Q. Now getting back to the calculation. Were

ΙΤΟ

2.0

2.3

DIRECT EXAMINATION - EXEC. DIRECTOR J. LODISPO ΙΤΟ 1 you asked to perform a calculation of the value of the time, pay and benefits of Detective Jaenice Smith for 2 3 the period February 7, 2024, through March 25, 2025? 4 Yes, I was. What information did you utilize to access 5 Ο. 6 the value of the time, pay and benefits during that 7 period of time? 8 Α. Well, we adjusted the time in the system to 9 put her with leave with no pay for that time period. 10 And then once the system recalculated the value we were 11 able to run a CHRMS report out of the payroll 12 management system to see what the value was during that time period. 13 14 I'm going to hand you a document that has Ο. 15 been deemed marked Department's Exhibit 19 in evidence. 16 And I apologize in evidence for the small font. 17 going to ask you to take a look at that and tell us if 18 you recognize it. 19 (Whereupon, the aforementioned exhibit was 2.0 presented to the witness on the stand.) 21 Yes, I do. I recognize it. Α. 22 Director, is this the document that you prepared valuing a time, pay and benefits of 2.3 24 Detective Jaenice Smith of the period February 7, 2024

25

to March 25, 2025?

PROCEEDINGS

1 Α. Yes, sir. 2 First, I'm going to ask you for the grand 3 I'm going to jump to the dessert first. is the grand total of the value of the time, pay 4 5 benefits during that period? It was \$162,462.44. 6 Α. 7 What is included in that? Ο. 8 It includes regular pay, longevity, overtime. Α. Anything that she earned during that time period. 9 10 Night shift, differential, holiday pay. 11 MR. GREEN: Nothing further, Commissioner. HONORABLE MALDONADO: Mr. Sanders? 12 13 MR. SANDERS: No questions. HONORABLE MALDONADO: Thank you very much, 14 15 sir. You are excused. 16 (Whereupon, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR JOSEPH 17 LODISPOTO left the witness stand.) 18 HONORABLE MALDONADO: Our next witness. 19 MR. GREEN: The Department calls 20 Lieutenant Latisha Witten. 21 {Whereupon, LIEUTENANT LATISHA WITTEN was 22 sworn in by HONORABLE ROSEMARIE MALDONADO.) 23 LIEUTENANT WITTEN: Yes. 2.4 COURT OFFICER CARRINGTON: Say your first

25

and last name.

| | DIRECT EXAMINATION - LT. L. WITTEN |
|----|---|
| 1 | LIEUTENANT WITTEN: Latisha Witten. |
| 2 | COURT OFFICER CARRINGTON: Spell your |
| 3 | first and last name. |
| 4 | LIEUTENANT WITTEN: L-A-T-I-S-H-A, |
| 5 | W-I-T-E-N. |
| 6 | HONORABLE MALDONADO: Counsel, you may |
| 7 | proceed. |
| 8 | DIRECT EXAMINATION BY |
| 9 | MR. GREEN: |
| 10 | Q. Good morning, lieutenant. How are you today? |
| 11 | A. Good morning. |
| 12 | Q. Lieutenant Witten, where are you currently |
| 13 | assigned? |
| 14 | A. Currently, I'm Fleet Services division. |
| 15 | Q. What is your position there? |
| 16 | A. I am the police room security supervisor. |
| 17 | Q. Approximately how long have you served with |
| 18 | the NYPD? |
| 19 | A. Twenty-three years. |
| 20 | Q. And could you tell us very briefly where you |
| 21 | worked? |
| 22 | A. I have worked in Transit. Then I went to, I |
| 23 | actually worked here in One Police Plaza. Then I went |
| 24 | to the 83rd Precinct as a sergeant. Then I went, I |
| 25 | came back here, I went to risk management as a |
| | |

DIRECT EXAMINATION - LT. L. WITTEN 1 sergeant. Took the lieutenant's test passed. I went 2 to the 72 as a lieutenant. Then I went to PSA9 as a 3 lieutenant. And then I went to the Patrol Borough 4 Brooklyn North. 5 And from Patrol Borough Brooklyn North, you Ο. 6 went to Fleet Services? 7 Right. Α. 8 When were you assigned to Patrol Borough Q. Brooklyn North? 9 10 Α. 2022. 11 And what was your job there? Q. 12 Α. I was the operations coordinator. 13 Q. What is the operations coordinator do at 14 Patrol Borough Brooklyn North? I was assigned to deal with administrative 15 Α. 16 matters and supervise the security unit. 17 While you were there, who was the borough Ο. commander? 18 19 Chief Henderson. Α. 20 That's Chief Scott Henderson? Ο. 21 Α. Yes. 22 Ο. Would you speak with him on a daily basis 23 during your time you were working there?

Now, did there come a time in early 2024 that

2.4

25

Α.

Q.

Yes.

DIRECT EXAMINATION - LT. L. WITTEN 1 you had a conversation with Chief Henderson regarding Detective Jaenice Smith? 2 3 Α. Yes. Do you recall approximately when that was? 4 Q. 5 I'm going to say I know it was 2024. Α. middle, mid-2024. 6 7 Middle or before then, if you know? Ο. 8 Α. The beginning of 2024. And what was the nature of the conversation 9 Ο. 10 that you had with Chief Henderson? 11 Α. He told me that she would be working from 12 home. 13 Q. Had you ever heard of anyone who at the 14 Patrol Borough Brooklyn North working from home before? 15 Α. No. 16 Did you know Detective Jaenice Smith at that 17 time? Yes she worked at the wheel. 18 Α. So you had actually worked or supervised her 19 Q. 20 indirectly in the past? 21 Α. Yes.

February 2024?

22

23

Ο.

A. She was assigned to the wheel then she went to One Police Plaza's wheel.

Where was she assigned to work in

DIRECT EXAMINATION - LT. L. WITTEN

- Q. And then after that, where did she go?
- A. She was supposed to be back at security.
 - Q. And that's Patrol Borough Brooklyn North?
 - A. Brooklyn North, yes.
- Q. Slash desk detail?
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- Q. What does the security desk or security detail there do?
 - A. Their job is to check the parameters of the building, the lots and make sure everything is smooth there.
 - Q. Where are the Patrol Borough Brooklyn North's headquarters, the offices?
- 14 | A. 179 Wilson.
 - Q. Wilson Avenue?
- 16 A. Avenue, Brooklyn, New York.
- Q. Is that attached or part of any precinct stationhouse?
- 19 | A. No.
- 20 Q. So it's its own standalone building?
- 21 A. It's a standalone building.
- 22 Q. During your entire time that -- withdrawn.
- 23 When did you get transferred to Fleet
- 24 | Services, approximately?
- 25 A. September 29th of 2025 this year.

DIRECT EXAMINATION - LT. L. WITTEN

- Q. When you were told by Chief Henderson in early 2024 that Detective Smith would be working from home, what did you understand that to mean?
 - A. That she would stay home during her tour of duty.
- Q. Could you perform any security duties from home?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Did you question Chief Henderson about that?
- 10 A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

13

16

17

18

19

- Q. Did you ask Chief Henderson about clarifications in any way whatever about that?
 - A. No.
- Q. Was anyone else present that you recall during the conversation you had with Chief Henderson?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Did Chief Henderson in any way tell you how Detective Smith's time would be accounted for if she was working from home?
- 20 A. No.
- Q. Did you take any steps to ensure that her time was accounted for?
 - A. No.
- Q. Did you have any conversations with
 Sergeant Fong regarding the arrangement that was being

DIRECT EXAMINATION - LT. L. WITTEN

1 made?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

- 2 | A. Yes.
 - Q. Do you remember when you had that conversation, that is approximately how long after your conversation with the chief?
 - A. I know it was after. I don't know the exact date.
 - Q. Did you know why Chief Henderson made this arrangement with Detective Smith?
 - A. Her mother had cancer and her mother was gravely ill.
- Q. Did you know Detective Smith's mother, her mother?
- 14 | A. No.
 - Q. Did you ask the chief about any details concerning Detective Smith's mother's illness?
- 17 | A. No.
- Q. Did you become aware of a time in early
 December 2024 that Detective Smith's mother passed
 away?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. Did you attend her funeral?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Did Detective Smith report for work at all that is in person from the time you had the

DIRECT EXAMINATION - LT. L. WITTEN 1 conversation with the chief until the time you went to 2 the funeral? 3 Α. She did come up to the borough one day. She came in to speak to the chief? 4 Q. 5 Α. Yes. But she wasn't there to do work? 6 Q. 7 Α. No. Did you ever see Detective Smith report back 8 Q. to work after her mother's funeral? 9 10 No. Α. 11 Did anyone ever come to you and ask you about 12 whether she was coming back to work at some point after her mother's funeral? 13 14 Α. Yes. Sergeant Hunt came to me. 15 That's Sergeant Donovan Hunt? Ο. 16 Yes. Α. 17 Ο. And do you recall approximately when it was 18 that he came to you? 19 Α. I think it was maybe in March, February or 20 March. 21 What was the nature of the conversation you 22 had with Sergeant Hunt about Detective Smith returning 23 to work?

He asked me what's happening with her.

she coming back. And I replied that I had to speak to

2.4

CROSS EXAMINATION - LT. L. WITTEN 1 the chief about it. 2 Did you ever speak to the chief about it? 3 Α. No. Do you know if any lawful or legal request 4 Ο. for accommodation or leave was ever submitted for 5 6 Detective Smith? 7 Α. No. In connection with your role in this matter, 8 Q. were you issued a command discipline? 9 10 Α. Yes. 11 I'm handing you what's already marked as Q. Department's Exhibit 16 (handing). 12 13 MR. SANDERS: Commissioner, you have my 14 list. It's A on my list as 16. 15 (Whereupon, the aforementioned exhibit was 16 presented to the witness on the stand.) 17 Α. Yes. 18 Ο. Is this the command discipline issued to you in connection with this matter? 19 20 Α. Yes. 21 MR. GREEN: Nothing further, Commissioner. HONORABLE MALDONADO: Mr. Sanders. 22 23 MR. SANDERS: Yes, briefly. 2.4 CROSS EXAMINATION BY

25

MR. SANDERS:

- Q. Lieutenant, so let me ask you a couple questions. You're a sergeant at some point?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And part of your training is to go through the basically matching course of B mock?
 - A. Yes.

2.4

- Q. During part of your duties and responsibilities as a newly promoted supervisor, that you have a certain amount of discretion how to handle personal related matters?
 - A. Yeah.
- Q. What about as a lieutenant. Now you go to the second level as a lieutenant and you had to go back to another training, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Part of that training as a lieutenant now you have theoretically, you have more personnel under you and there's a thing that's called discretion, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And when you were taught this during your training, is this something that the trainings pointed to like a rule or did they say that is part of the police department's culture that supervisors have certain amount of discretion to enforce the Department's guidelines right?

- 1 A. It's not a rule, right.
- Q. When you spoke to Henderson in February of 2027, he was an assistant chief, right?
 - A. '27?

4

7

8

- Q. I'm sorry. February of 2024, you spoke to Assistant Chief Henderson?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And he was the command officer of Patrol Borough Brooklyn North?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Have you ever been a chief?
- 12 | A. No.
- Q. Do you know what discretion or any guidelines he had to enforce Department policies?
- 15 A. No.
- Q. When you had a conversation with Assistant
 Chief Henderson, he said Smith is going to be. Home
 did you question it?
- 19 | A. No.
- Q. Why didn't you question it?
- 21 A. Because he's the assistant chief.
- 22 | Q. Did you make an assumption as a lieutenant?
- 23 A. Did I make an assumption? No.
- Q. Did you make an assumption if he gives you an order, it's valid?

A. Yes.

1

- Q. Did you call Internal Affairs and say hey

 Henderson is having Smith stay home, that's corruption;

 did you say that?
 - A. No.
- 6 Q. Did you call EEO?
- 7 A. No.
- Q. Did you call any Department authority and suggest that what Henderson was doing was in violation of Department's guidelines?
- 11 A. Yes.
- Q. You were required to do that because you were lieutenant, right?
- 14 | A. Yes.
- 15 Q. In fact, if that was true, yeah?
- 16 A. Right.
- Q. As lieutenant and member of service, you have to report corruption, right?
- 19 | A. Yes.
- Q. But you didn't report any corruption, right?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 Q. You didn't see corruption, did you?
- 23 A. No.
- Q. Well, you got a command discipline that
- 25 | suggests otherwise, right?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

13

14

- Q. You agree with that command discipline because it says, let me read it. It says here "having been made aware or made aware of an unauthorized accommodation or misconduct by members of the service related to an unauthorized accommodation failed to report said alleged corruption or misconduct to Internal Affairs Bureau." You see that?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. And you signed off and agreed to it?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Do you agree with?
 - A. It was required for me to notify so.
 - Q. That's different than what you're saying happened. That's my point.
- MR. GREEN: Objection.
- 17 HONORABLE MALDONADO: Overruled.
- Q. You testified a little bit earlier that when you were given an order, you believed the order was valid, correct?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 \ Q. You saw it as valid, right?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 O. You didn't call Internal Affairs?
- 25 | A. No, I didn't.

- Q. But later on you were issued a command discipline suggesting that somehow that was corruption, right?
 - A. Yes.

4

5

12

13

14

15

- Q. But that's not your assessment?
- A. It was required that I called IAB and I didn't.
- Q. And I'm asking you about your assessment.
 HONORABLE MALDONADO: Counsel, ultimately,
 that's the question for this tribunal.

11 MR. SANDERS: I understand, Commissioner.

- Q. Do you know whether or not when Henderson said Smith can stay home, he was actually granting her a reasonable accommodation?
 - A. Yes.
- 16 O. Did he talk about that?
- 17 | A. No.
- Q. At the time he knew the mother was very ill, right?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And you knew that she was very ill, right?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Did you ever have a conversation with Smith during that time period?
- 25 | A. No.

- Q. Did you ever see her condition during that time period?
 - A. No.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. Do you know whether how she was doing mentally during that time period?
- A. No.
 - Q. Do you know how she was doing after her mother passed during that time period?
- A. No.
- Q. Do you know if she was suffering from caregiver burnout?
- 12 | A. No.
- Q. And that's why she was at the borough, did
 Henderson tell you that?
- 15 A. No.
- Q. You never had a conversation with Smith at all?
- 18 | A. No.
- Q. Did Smith, well, did you investigate, okay.

 I think the Department Advocate's asked you a question

 about Fong.
- 22 A. Sergeant Fong, yes.
- Q. Are you the one that told Sergeant Fong to facilitate the entries into the Department computers,

 I'm just asking you?

RE-DIRECT EXAMINATION - LT. L. WITTEN

A. No.

1

4

- 2 Q. What conversation did you have with Fong?
- A. What kind of what?
 - Q. Conversation did you have with Fong?
- A. I told him that she's going to be working from home.
 - Q. Did Sergeant Fong question it?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. Did he tell you that he believed that was a 10 form of misconduct?
- 11 | A. No.
- Q. As far as you know did he ever report that to

 Internal Affairs?
- 14 | A. No.
- MR. SANDERS: Nothing further.
- 16 HONORABLE MALDONADO: Anything further?
- 17 | MR. GREEN: I just have a couple
- 18 | questions, yes.
- 19 HONORABLE MALDONADO: Yes.
- 20 RE-DIRECT EXAMINATION BY
- 21 MR. GREEN:
- Q. Lieutenant, you were just asked a few moments ago about training as a sergeant and as a lieutenant,
- 24 correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

RE-DIRECT EXAMINATION - LT. L. WITTEN

- Q. And you were asked questions about whether you were told you have A certain amount of discretion as a supervisor in certain types of matters?
 - A. Right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

Q. Were you ever told that you had the discretion to permit people you supervised to commit crimes?

MR. SANDERS: Objection.

A. No.

HONORABLE MALDONADO: I'll allow it.

- Q. Were you ever told that you were allowed as a supervisor to use your discretion to allow subordinates to violate Department rules if it was convenient for them to do so?
 - A. No.
- Q. Were you ever told that as a supervisor you had the discretion to make decisions regarding extended leave of absences without conferring with anyone else?
 - A. No.
- Q. When you were initially told Detective Smith was working from home, you indicated that you believed that was authorized; is that right?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Did you subsequently learn something else?
- 25 | A. No.

RE-DIRECT EXAMINATION - LT. L. WITTEN

- Q. Do you believe that it was authorized as you sit here today?
- A. No.

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

4 MR. GREEN: Nothing further.

5 HONORABLE MALDONADO:

MR. SANDERS:

Q. Lieutenant, you were just asked a question about crimes. During the time period that you were her supervisor, based on your assessment was Smith committed a crime?

MR. GREEN: Objection. That's for this tribunal to decide.

MR. SANDERS: Well, the Department just asked that question about committing a crime.

MR. GREEN: But that wasn't the question.

MR. SANDERS: It seems like (crosstalk).

HONORABLE MALDONADO: Listen. Repeat the question again.

Q. I'm asking you during the time period you were supervising Smith, under your supervision did you suspect that Smith was committing a crime meaning stealing time and money from the taxpayers of the City of New York?

HONORABLE MALDONADO: You opened the door,

Mr. Green. I'll allow her to answer for what it's

| | PROCEEDINGS |
|----|---|
| 1 | worth. If you can answer. |
| 2 | A. Did I think that she was committing a crime, |
| 3 | no. |
| 4 | Q. Is that why you didn't call Internal Affairs? |
| 5 | A. Yeah. |
| 6 | Q. Because if she had committed a crime, you |
| 7 | would've been required by Department policy to take |
| 8 | police action, correct? |
| 9 | A. Yes. |
| 10 | MR. SANDERS: Nothing further. |
| 11 | MR. GREEN: Nothing further, Commissioner. |
| 12 | HONORABLE MALDONADO: Thank you very much. |
| 13 | You are excused. |
| 14 | (Whereupon, LIEUTENANT LATISHA WITTEN left |
| 15 | the witness stand.) |
| 16 | HONORABLE MALDONADO: Our next witness, |
| 17 | please. |
| 18 | MR. GREEN: Department calls Sergeant Jun |
| 19 | Fong. |
| 20 | {Whereupon, SERGEANT JUN FONG was sworn in |
| 21 | by HONORABLE ROSEMARIE MALDONADO.) |
| 22 | SERGEANT FONG: Yes. |

COURT OFFICER CARRINGTON: Say your first and last name.

SERGEANT FONG: Sergeant Jun Fong.

| | DIRECT EXAMINATION - SGT. J. FONG |
|----|---|
| 1 | COURT OFFICER CARRINGTON: Spell your |
| 2 | first and last name. |
| 3 | SERGEANT FONG: J-U-N, F-O-N-G. |
| 4 | HONORABLE MALDONADO: You may proceed. |
| 5 | MR. GREEN: Thank you. |
| 6 | DIRECT EXAMINATION BY |
| 7 | MR. GREEN: |
| 8 | Q. Good afternoon, sergeant. How are you today? |
| 9 | A. Good, sir. |
| 10 | Q. How long have you served with the NYPD? |
| 11 | A. Over 22 years. |
| 12 | Q. Briefly can you tell us where you've been |
| 13 | assigned to? |
| 14 | A. Currently assigned to Patrol Borough Brooklyn |
| 15 | North the counterterrorism unit. |
| 16 | Q. And where have you been assigned before that |
| 17 | sir? |
| 18 | A. Patrol Borough Brooklyn North. |
| 19 | Q. Was there a time where you were assigned as |
| 20 | the Patrol Borough Brooklyn North security detail |
| 21 | supervisor? |
| 22 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 23 | Q. When was that? |
| 24 | A. Since I've been assigned to Patrol Borough |
| 25 | Brooklyn North, 2018 to last year November, beginning |

1 of November.

2.4

- Q. And as supervisor of Patrol Borough Brooklyn North security detail, what were your duties and responsibilities?
- A. To supervise the wheel and security. And make sure they survey the surrounding area of the building, our 179 Wilson Avenue, and also our three parking lots. Also check the credentials for whoever came into the building. And also supervise the property transfer on the midnight shift.
- Q. Do you know the Respondent in this case, Detective Jaenice Smith?
 - A. Yes, I do.
 - Q. How do you know her?
- A. She was my wheel person on the wheel. She did the 1800 by 0600 tours.
- Q. Did there come a time that Detective Smith was assigned to the security detail?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Did Detective Smith ever actually report for work at the security detail?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Did there come a time in early 2024 that you had a conversation with Lieutenant Witten regarding Detective Smith?

A. Yes.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- Q. Do you recall who else was present for that conversation?
 - A. No, I do not.
 - Q. Do you know if anyone else was?
 - A. No.
 - Q. In substance, what was the conversation about?
 - A. Briefly, there was chief knows. That she was aware that chief is aware that she's going to be working from home.
 - Q. Sergeant, are any duties of a member of Patrol Borough Brooklyn North's security detail that Detective Smith could perform at home?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Knowing that, what, if anything, did you say or do after Lieutenant Witten told you this?
 - A. Not that I recall.
 - Q. Did you do anything, that is did you question Lieutenant Witten and say wait a minute, how does she work from home or any words like that?
 - A. I knew her situation from before. Because she used to work for the wheel so I know her she had family matter with her and her mom, that she's deeply in care.

- Q. Did you speak to Chief Henderson and ask him why this was being permitted?
 - A. Not personally, no.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- Q. Do you know if anyone did?
- A. Lieutenant Witten.
- Q. Did you speak to the other members of the security detail regarding this arrangement?
 - A. I don't recall.
- Q. Do you know how the other members of the security detail or your subordinates knew how to document detective's appearances at work?
- A. Yes. There is a sign in and sign out sheet and she was manually entered into the system attendance app.
 - Q. How did you know that that was what was to be done?
 - A. Because the payroll collect them. It has to be faxed over to payroll for them to enter it into the system.
- Q. Did anyone tell you she has to be entered into the system this way?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Did you yourself actually enter her into the system this way at all?
- A. If I was on the security desk I might've done

- 1 it.
- Q. Did you report this arrangement to the
- 3 | Internal Affairs Bureau?
- 4 | A. No.
- 5 Q. Why not?
- 6 A. Because Chief Henderson's order.
- 7 Q. Did you question it?
- 8 | A. No.
- 9 Q. Was it because he was the chief?
- 10 A. Yes.
- Q. Did you ever inquire as to whether any formal documentation for a legal accommodation was requested
- 13 | for the detective?
- 14 | A. No.
- 15 Q. Why not?
- 16 A. Because I followed orders.
- Q. Did the order appear, do you know if the order was in line with Department rules and procedures?
- 19 A. At the time I wasn't aware.
- Q. Did you subsequently become aware whether or not the order was a lawful one?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And what did you learn?
- A. It's supposed to be going through proper
- 25 channels to get accommodations.

- Q. In connection with your role in this, were you issued a command discipline?
 - A. Yes, I did.

Q. I'm handing a two-page document. I ask you to take a look at it and tell us if that was the command discipline that was issued to you in connection with this matter?

HONORABLE MALDONADO: And this is exhibit.

MR. GREEN: I'm sorry, it's Exhibit 17.

HONORABLE MALDONADO: Okay.

(Whereupon, the aforementioned exhibit was presented to the witness on the stand.)

- A. Yes.
- Q. During the course of Detective Smith's absence, did she ever speak with you or communicate with you in connection with personnel needs if her presence was needed at the command?
- A. She did contact me. She requested a few. We call it 28s, it's a leave of absence.
- Q. Other than that contact. First of all, were you Detective Smith's immediate supervisor at that time, her direct supervisor?
 - A. Up until.
- Q. That is until Sergeant Hunt took over, correct?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- Q. Before Sergeant Hunt took over, were you Detective Smith's immediate and direct supervisor?
- A. Yes and no. Yes, she has been transferred to One Police Plaza PSB wheel. So she has her own supervisor. She had her own supervisor at the time because that.
 - Q. Sergeant, I'm referring specifically to the time that she was assigned to the security detail. Not the wheel.
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. When she was assigned to the security detail, were you her direct supervisor?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Other than contacting you to submit requests for leave, did she contact you in any other way?
 - A. I might have spoke to her on the phone a few times. But I can't remember exactly when we talked about it.
 - Q. Did she ask you about the needs or personnel needs of the command?
 - A. I don't recall.
 - Q. Did you at any time authorize any leave for her?
- 25 A. Excuse me? I'm sorry.

- Q. Did you at any time submit a request for a leave of absence on her behalf?
 - A. I did not. She did that on her own.
 - Q. I'm talking about the 28?
 - A. Yeah, she did on her own. She submit it and I approve it.
 - Q. Okay, I'm handing up a three-page document which is reflected in Department's Exhibit 12, 13 and 14. Take a look at those pages. Do you recognize those?

(Whereupon, the aforementioned exhibit was presented to the witness on the stand.)

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.4

- Q. What are they?
- A. This is the first page. Starting with the Detective Smith, with the dates, this is a chart change. A chart change after we received a Finest Message for her transfer. So she needed to change to a different. She had to fit her in to the security schedule.
 - Q. What are the other pages?
- A. Those are leave of absences. We call it 28s requests.
 - Q. She never submitted these in person, correct?
 - A. She submitted it in person, yeah. It's

- 1 through a computer.
- Q. Did she appear at the command and hand them to you?
 - A. No.

4

5

6

7

8

9

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- Q. Did she appear at the command and enter it into any computer at the command?
 - A. I did not see her.
- Q. Did you approve any requests for leave that she submitted?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- MR. GREEN: Nothing further.
- 12 HONORABLE MALDONADO: Counsel.
- MR. SANDERS: Yes.
- 14 | CROSS EXAMINATION BY
- 15 MR. SANDERS:
- Q. How long were you guys aware of Smith having to deal with the terminal condition that her mother experienced cancer, how long were you aware?
 - A. This was when she was on the wheel still at the borough I was aware of it.
 - Q. Did members of your command do things to try to help her to try to manage her mom's situation?
 - A. When I was here, she was the only caretaker.
 - Q. Did you do things as a unit to try to help her to manage her mother's condition like?

- A. Not that I can recall.
 - Q. But you were aware of it, right?
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Q. Was it common knowledge in your unit that her mother experiencing this condition, common knowledge between the members of your command --

MR. GREEN: Objection.

- Q. -- her mother was experiencing cancer?

 HONORABLE MALDONADO: I'll allow it. If he knows.
 - A. Is it common knowledge?
- Q. Let me ask this way. Are other members assigned to that command, did they know about her caretaking and responsibilities with her mom?
 - A. Some people do. Some people don't.
- Q. That's all I'm asking. As a supervisor, you have training, right?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And how long were you a sergeant?
- 20 A. Fifteen years.
- Q. Did any time as her supervisor, consistent
 with Department policy, did you ever make a suggestion
 that she contact, for example Health and Wellness, to
 get a reasonable accommodation?
- 25 A. I did not.

- Q. Did you as a supervisor ever tell her to contact the Office of Equity and Inclusion so she could get a reasonable accommodation to help her with her caretaking responsibilities?
 - A. No, I don't. But she's appeared for, she goes on her own.
 - Q. I'm asking about your role as a supervisor.
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

19

- Q. That's part of your duties and responsibilities --
- MR. GREEN: Objection. Counsel should not
 be saying what the witness's duties and
 responsibilities are. He should not be testifying.
- HONORABLE MALDONADO: Just rephrase your question.
- MR. SANDERS: I'll rephrase.
- Q. You have certain duties and obligations as supervisor, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Part of your Department's training, do you learn about reasonable accommodation that one of the things you were taught about?
 - A. It's in the Patrol Guide.
- Q. I don't know. I'm asking you about your training?

A. Yeah.

2.4

- Q. So you're aware at the time your member was having a problem managing the condition of her mother as an employee, right, were you aware of that?
 - A. I know her mom's situation at the time, yes.
- Q. You as a supervisor consistent with your training, did you ever assist her in any way to help her to use the Department's policies to manage her mother's condition as well as to take care of herself at any time?
 - A. No.
- Q. Part of your duties and responsibilities when you received your training as a sergeant is that there's a thing that's called discretion, how to handle and manage personal related matters. Do you remember that part of your B mock training?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Is that written anywhere that you as a supervisor have a certain amount of discretion, that written anywhere?
 - A. No.
- Q. So at the time when Assistant Chief
 Henderson. By the way, have you ever been an assistant
 chief?
- A. No. I wish in my life.

- Q. I know. I have to ask these questions. Are you aware whether what his level and scope of discretion he has as Assistant Chief Henderson?
 - A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- Q. When you said a little bit earlier that you followed orders, isn't it a condition in the police department that you're supposed to follow lawful orders, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. So at the time you were given an order, you believed that it was lawful, right?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Because if it wasn't lawful, then you have an obligation not to follow it, right?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And then also notify the Internal Affairs?
- 17 | A. Yes.
 - Q. At that time, did you notify Internal Affairs
 Bureau that there's corruption at Brooklyn North
 meaning that Henderson is giving favorable treatment to
 subordinate Smith?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Did you believe at the time he was giving favorable treatment that was inconsistent with his scope of discretion at the time?

A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. You were asked questions that you subsequently became aware. So after you were given this command discipline, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And you signed this command discipline, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Did you read what this discipline suggests that you were aware that Smith was engaging in a form of serious misconduct and you failed to notify Internal Affairs, that's what this command discipline says, right?
- 14 HONORABLE MALDONADO: If you recall.
- 15 A. Yes.
 - Q. Is that what you did? You're saying you agreed the Department's version that you were aware of Smith committing misconduct and you failed to take action; is that what you did?
 - A. That's what I signed.
- Q. I know that's what you signed. I'm asking is that what you did?
 - A. No.
- MR. SANDERS: Nothing further. One other thing.

- Q. During the time of this incident. The time period of the period in question from 2024 to 2025, did you ever have a conversation with Smith while she was taking care of her mom before she passed away?
 - A. After her mom passed away, no.
- Q. Do you know whether or not she was staying out because of caregiving PTSD, related PTSD?
 - A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. Did you see the condition of Smith during the time period between February of 2024 and March of 2025?
 - A. No.
- Q. And did Smith ever tell you that she wants you to make certain entries in the payroll system or the management system to account for her time at work?
 - A. No.
 - O. And did you do this on your own volition?
 - A. Like I said I followed orders.
- Q. So did someone specifically tell you to make entries and assist to account for her checking in?
 - A. I don't recall that part.
 - Q. But Smith didn't tell you to do it, right?
 - A. Not that I can recall.
- MR. GREEN: I have a couple.
- 24 | HONORABLE MALDONADO: Go ahead.
- 25 | RE-DIRECT EXAMINATION BY

MR. GREEN:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

2.4

- Q. Sergeant, what did you think would happen to you if you challenged the direction that you were given or if you questioned the direction that you were given that she was working from home and it was authorized?
 - A. I'm sorry. Say that one more time.
- Q. What did you think would happen if you had questioned it?
 - A. It would be punitive punishment.
- Q. For questioning something?
 - A. If I'm questioning the chief?
- Q. Yes. What would happen?
- A. I would think either immediate transfer.
 - Q. You said a little while ago under direct examination that there weren't any duties of a member of the security detail that could actually be performed from home. So did you understand that to mean that Detective Smith wasn't actually doing any police work?
 - A. She was not there.
 - Q. I'm sorry?
- A. She was not there to perform the security duty.
 - Q. So she wasn't performing any police work if she was at home, right?
- 25 A. No.

- Q. Did you mention that concern to the chief?
- A. I do not have direct conversation with the chief.
 - Q. Why not?

1

4

5

6

14

15

16

- A. I did not.
 - Q. Why didn't you say hey chief --
- 7 A. The chief of the command --

8 HONORABLE MALDONADO: I think we're going.

- 9 I'm not even sure this is relevant. There was no conversation. That's it. Let's move on.
- Q. Do you know the rules and procedures within the Department concerning requests for extended leave or any type of accommodations?
 - A. Yeah. It has to go through employee relations.
 - Q. Does it have to be put in writing?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- HONORABLE MALDONADO: Counsel, I'm not sure where we're going with these questions.
- 20 MR. GREEN: Fair enough, Commissioner.
- 21 | Nothing further.
- MR. SANDERS: One question about the
- 23 retaliation since he mentioned it.
- 24 RE-CROSS EXAMINATION BY
- 25 | MR. SANDERS:

PROCEEDINGS

| | TROCEESTINGS |
|----|--|
| 1 | Q. Did you report to the Department that you |
| 2 | were afraid of being retaliated against by Assistant |
| 3 | Chief Henderson if you challenged his arrangement? |
| 4 | A. No. |
| 5 | MR. SANDERS: Nothing further. Thank you. |
| 6 | HONORABLE MALDONADO: Thank you very much, |
| 7 | sir. You are excused. |
| 8 | (Whereupon, SERGEANT JUN FONG left the |
| 9 | witness stand.) |
| 10 | HONORABLE MALDONADO: Off the record. |
| 11 | (Whereupon, a discussion was held off the |
| 12 | record.) |
| 13 | HONORABLE MALDONADO: Back on the record. |
| 14 | We will call our next witness. |
| 15 | MR. GREEN: The Department calls Sergeant |
| 16 | Donovan Hunt. |
| 17 | {Whereupon, SERGEANT DONOVAN HUNT was |
| 18 | sworn in by HONORABLE ROSEMARIE MALDONADO.) |
| 19 | SERGEANT HUNT: I do. |
| 20 | COURT OFFICER CARRINGTON: Please state |
| 21 | your first and last name. |
| 22 | SERGEANT HUNT: Sergeant Donovan Hunt. |
| 23 | COURT OFFICER CARRINGTON: Spell your |
| 24 | first and last name. |
| 25 | SERGEANT HUNT: D-O-N-O-V-A-N, H-U-N-T. |
| | 1 |

| | DIRECT EXAMINATION - SGT. D. HUNT |
|----|--|
| 1 | HONORABLE MALDONADO: Mr. Green, you may |
| 2 | proceed. |
| 3 | MR. GREEN: Thank you. |
| 4 | DIRECT EXAMINATION BY |
| 5 | MR. GREEN: |
| 6 | Q. Good afternoon, sergeant. |
| 7 | A. Good afternoon. |
| 8 | Q. Sergeant, approximately how long have you |
| 9 | served with the NYPD approximately? |
| 10 | A. Twenty-three years. |
| 11 | Q. Where have you worked before your current |
| 12 | post? |
| 13 | A. I did 19 years in the 84th Precinct. Got |
| 14 | promoted and went to the 90th Precinct for about a |
| 15 | year. And then I was transferred to Patrol Borough |
| 16 | Brooklyn North to do FTU for about six months. And |
| 17 | then I went back to the 90th Precinct for about a |
| 18 | month. And then back to Patrol Borough Brooklyn North, |
| 19 | yeah, from then. |
| 20 | Q. When you were assigned in your last time to |
| 21 | Patrol Borough Brooklyn North, were you initially |

Q. When you were assigned in your last time to Patrol Borough Brooklyn North, were you initially assigned as the supervisor of the security detail or something else?

A. No. I came in Patrol Borough Brooklyn North,
I was a school sergeant for the Patrol Borough Brooklyn

1 North.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

19

20

21

22

- Q. What did the school sergeant do?
- A. I supervised. I oversaw all ten commands in terms of anything that was youth related. I was the liaison to schools in Brooklyn North. If they needed to get in contact with anybody within the precincts, that's pretty much what I did.
 - Q. In the command, who was your direct supervisor?
 - A. Lieutenant Witten.
 - Q. Did there come a time that you took over as sergeant or supervisor of the security detail of Patrol Borough Brooklyn North?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And you took over for Sergeant Fong?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 Q. Approximately when did that occur?
- 18 A. Around November of 2024.
 - Q. Now, before taking over Sergeant Fong's duties and responsibilities, did you know Detective Jaenice Smith, the Respondent, in this case?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. How did you know her?
- A. I know her in passing. She used to work for the wheel, Patrol Borough Brooklyn North when they

DIRECT EXAMINATION - SGT. D. HUNT turned out of the borough at 179 Wilson.

- Q. And then at some point, the wheel even though it was assigned, you could be assigned to Brooklyn North, you turned out of 1PP, correct?
 - A. Correct.

2.4

- Q. Did you at some point become aware that

 Detective Smith was then transferred from the wheel at

 1PP to the Patrol Borough Brooklyn North security

 detail?
- A. Yes. Well, when I took over for Sergeant Fong is when I found out that she was a part of the security team.
- Q. Did you at any time know about Detective Smith's mother's illness?
 - A. Not before I took over, no.

HONORABLE MALDONADO: I'm sorry. You're mumbling. Speak clearly. I didn't hear your answer. SERGEANT HUNT: No. Not before I took

19 over the security team for Sergeant Fong.

- Q. Now how did you learn of Detective Smith's mother's illness?
- A. Basically when I took over for Sergeant Fong. We went over all of his duties and responsibilities, who he was in charge of. When we started talking about scheduling, that's when he told me about

Detective Smith and her mom being sick.

- Q. In substance what did he tell you?
- A. Well, at the time we were talking about scheduling. So we scheduled, when it came time to schedule Detective Smith, he just told me she wasn't there. That she wasn't, you know, she had an accommodation from the chief. So not to worry about scheduling her because she wasn't going to be here.
- Q. How, if at all, did this effect your scheduling of the members assigned to that command?
 - A. Well, I mean, in terms of manpower resources?
 - O. Yes.

2.4

- A. When it came time to if somebody was on vacation or if the person she worked with went on vacation, then we would have to find someone else to cover the post because she wasn't there.
- Q. Did you ever reach out to Detective Smith and contact her and say hey we need you to come back and fill in because your partner, the person you work with, wants to take a vacation?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Why not?
- A. Because I was just under the impression that whenever the accommodation was, it was already implemented for her to come back. That's when she

DIRECT EXAMINATION - SGT. D. HUNT 1 would come back. 2 Did you ever have any conversation directly 3 with Lieutenant Witten about the arrangement? No. 4 Α. 5 Ο. Did you ever have any conversation with Chief Henderson about this? 6 7 No. Α. 8 Are there any duties and responsibilities of Q. a member of service, even a uniformed member of service 9 10 assigned to the Patrol Borough Brooklyn North security 11 detail that can actually be performed from home? 12 In terms of security, no. 13 How then could she be carried as working from Ο. 14 home? 15 MR. SANDERS: Objection. 16 HONORABLE MALDONADO: I will allow it. 17 MR. GREEN: I'll withdraw that question 18 and ask it differently. If you had certain concerns about whether or 19 20 not she could perform any police work from home, did 21 you raise those concerns with anyone?

- A. I didn't, no.
- Q. Why not?

22

23

A. Because the accommodation was already implemented before I got there and I didn't know the

- details of it. I just assumed that whatever was worked

 out was already taken care before I got there.
 - Q. Did you inquire as to the details of the arrangement that had been made?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Did you make any assumptions about the details of the arrangement?
 - A. I didn't, know.
 - Q. Did there ever come a time Detective Smith contacted you regarding personnel or manpower issues in the command?
- 12 | A. No.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

21

22

- Q. Did there ever come a time that

 Detective Smith contacted you requesting individual
 days off?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Did it strike you as strange that someone who wasn't actually at work was requesting time off?
- A. At the time, no. I didn't really think about it.
 - Q. How was this put in place, that is how did you know that Detective Smith would be contacting you regarding requesting days off?
- A. She would text message me requesting time off.

- Q. About how many times, if you know, did that occur?
 - A. I don't really know. It wasn't that many, though.
 - Q. How at Brooklyn North, specifically the security detail, was attendance documented?
 - A. Through a sign-in sheet.
 - Q. If a member of the unit wasn't able to sign in personally, how was his or her attendance documented?
 - A. Through the sign-in sheet through a member from the security team.
 - Q. Now do you know how Detective Smith's attendance was documented?
 - A. It was she was getting signed in.
- 16 | 0. By who?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

21

22

- 17 A. By members of the security team.
- Q. And by signed in, you don't actually mean physically signing it. You mean entering her tax number in the attendance application?
 - A. That I'm not aware of.
 - Q. Did you yourself ever mark her as present for duty when she was not?
- 24 A. No.
- Q. Do you know specifically that other members

- 1 | members of service under your supervision did?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Did you ever question that?
 - A. No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- Q. Did they also mark her end of tour at the conclusion of each workday?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Did you ever question that?
- A. No.
 - Q. How did you know when you took over as supervisor of the security detail that that was how her attendance was being documented?
 - A. I don't remember when exactly I became aware of it. But I did become aware at a certain point.
 - Q. Is there a reason that you didn't question it?
 - A. I didn't question it at the time because the accommodation was already implemented before I got there.
 - Q. Did you subsequently learn that there was something wrong with it?
 - A. There was something wrong with the accommodation?
 - Q. Yes. The arrangement that had been made.
- 25 A. Well, I didn't know until I got questioned

DIRECT EXAMINATION - SGT. D. HUNT 1 about it by the Department. 2 Ο. Did there come a time that somebody under 3 your supervision asked you if Detective Smith was 4 coming back to work? 5 Α. Yes. Q. First of all, approximately when did that 6 7 take place? 8 I want to say late January, early February, Α. 9 maybe. 10 Now, did you attend the funeral of Q. 11 Detective Smith's mother? I did. 12 Α. 13 Q. Do you remember approximately when that took 14 place? The funeral was in December. 15 Α. 16 Do you know why Detective Smith did not 17 return to work after that? 18 Α. No. 19 Q. Who came to you in January or February of 20 2025 and said hey, is she coming back? P.O. Richard. 21 Α. 22 Ο. Who is P.O. Richard? 23 He's one of the security members of the Α. detail. 2.4 25 Q. Is he also the union delegate at that

1 | command?

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. Yes.
 - Q. And when P.O. Richard came to you and said hey, is she coming back, her, mom you know, passed away, what did you do?
- A. I told him that I would ask
 Lieutenant Witten.
 - Q. And did you?
 - A. I did, yes.
 - Q. When was that, when did you speak to her?
- 11 A. That was the same day. Right after he asked 12 me.
- 13 | Q. What was Lieutenant Witten's response?
- 14 A. She said she would ask Chief Henderson about it.
 - Q. Did you ever hear back from her about it?
- 17 | A. No.
 - Q. Did at that point did you believe that there was something wrong with the arrangement that was made?
 - A. At the time I didn't know the details of the accommodation so I didn't know whether it was right or wrong.
 - Q. In early March, March 6th or 7th of 2025, did there come a time that you saw and/or spoke with Detective Smith in person?

A. Yes.

2.4

- Q. Could you tell the Court what happened?
- A. That day, I had the pension statements given out every year. I was in position of everybody's pension statement and she requested her.
 - Q. What is a pension statement?
- A. Just we get it annually. It pretty much lets us know how much money we have in the pension and how much we contributed and some other details.
 - Q. Continue, please.
- A. She let me know that she was going to come down either that day or sometime after. And she wanted to know if she could get her pension statement.
 - Q. What did you say to her or do?
 - A. I told her I would give it to her.
- Q. And did there come a time shortly after that conversation that you actually saw her?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Describe what occurred, under what circumstances and where you were?
- A. We were in the building. It was early afternoon when I saw her. I had a pension statement, I gave her the pension statement. And then she asked me about the details of the duties and the responsibilities of the security team, like what they

| | DIRECT EXAMINATION - SGT. D. HUNT |
|----|---|
| 1 | do on a daily basis. And I pretty much told her |
| 2 | somewhat of the details that security performed every |
| 3 | day. |
| 4 | Q. She had been assigned to that security detail |
| 5 | at that point for about 13 months, correct? |
| 6 | A. Correct. |
| 7 | Q. What did you think of her request of you at |
| 8 | that point as to what the job was for someone assigned |
| 9 | where she was assigned? |
| 10 | A. I figured she was going to come back to work |
| 11 | and, you know, she wanted to know what the job was that |
| 12 | she was going to be doing. That's what we spoke about. |
| 13 | That's what I told her. I thought we were preparing |
| 14 | for her to come back. |
| 15 | Q. Did she ever come back? |
| 16 | A. No. |
| 17 | Q. In fact, is it fair to say she never appeared |
| 18 | for work once during the entire time you were her |
| 19 | supervisor there? |
| 20 | A. That's correct. |
| 21 | Q. In connection with your role in this matter, |
| 22 | did you accept a command discipline? |
| 23 | A. I did. |
| 24 | MR. GREEN: I'm going to hand up a |

25

two-page document this time, which has been admitted

CROSS EXAMINATION - SGT. D. HUNT 1 into evidence as Department's Exhibit 18. 2 (Whereupon, the aforementioned exhibit was 3 presented to the witness on the stand.) 4 Sergeant, that two-page document the Ο. 5 adjudicated command discipline or a copy of the 6 adjudicated command discipline that you were issued in 7 connection with this? 8 Α. Yes. As you sit here today, do you question 9 10 whether or not the arrangement that was made for 11 Detective Smith during the time that you were her 12 supervisor did not comport with Department rules and 13 procedures, do you question that at all? Well, what do you believe regarding whether or not this arrangement 14 15 followed Department rules and procedures? 16 Α. Well, I guess it didn't because, you know. 17 didn't know the details of it. So that's where it was. You didn't ask? 18 Ο. 19 Α. And I didn't ask, no. And policy says that I 20 should've. Nothing further, Commissioner. 21 MR. GREEN: 22 HONORABLE MALDONADO: Mr. Sanders. 23 MR. SANDERS: Yes. 2.4 CROSS EXAMINATION BY 25 MR. SANDERS:

CROSS EXAMINATION - SGT. D. HUNT

- Q. Starting backwards on the command discipline. Is it fair to say you signed this command discipline to resolve the disciplinary matter against you?
 - A. Yes.

2.4

- Q. Does that mean that you agree with what the contents of it which essentially says that you knew about police corruption and you didn't take any action, did you agree with that?
 - A. I didn't know the details of it.
- Q. I'm asking you. It says that you essentially knew about this arrangement about her being away from work and you failed to report it, in other words she engaged in corruption; is that true?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. You knew that she was involved in corruption?
 - A. Well, I knew that she wasn't at work.
- Q. Okay, that's different than saying you knew that she engaged in corrupt activity meaning staying out of work to benefit herself, you agree, right?
- A. I know that she wasn't at work and I didn't inquire about why, and that's why I got in trouble.
- Q. I'm asking you a very specific question. The words mean something.
 - A. Okay.
 - Q. There's just suggestions that you knew about

CROSS EXAMINATION - SGT. D. HUNT

- her engaging in corruption and stealing time and money and you didn't do anything about it; is that true?
 - A. That I knew about that she was stealing time, no, I didn't know that she was stealing time. At the time, I just knew that she wasn't at work and I didn't inquire about why she wasn't at work.
 - Q. Okay that's what you do know, right?
 - A. Correct.

2.4

- Q. Do you know whether or not. You keep using the word accommodation, right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And the Department keep using arrangement.

 Why are you using accommodation?
- A. Because that's the word I just decided to use.
 - Q. Is it related to the fact that you knew about at least at some point that her mom was having terminal conditions and that she was trying to take care of her and manage her own life at the time?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. That's why you're using the word accommodation?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Where did you learn that word accommodation?

 MR. GREEN: Objection.

CROSS EXAMINATION - SGT. D. HUNT

- HONORABLE MALDONADO: I'll give him some leeway. Go ahead, answer.
- A. Accommodation was just a word I used because that's how I understood it to be.
 - Q. Did you learn that as part of your training as a supervisor when you went through B mock?
 - A. Accommodation?
 - Q. Yes, like reasonable accommodation?
 - A. Yeah. I mean I don't really remember the details of it, but yes.
 - Q. So at the time of the accommodation, did you believe it was within the chief's right to do it?
 - A. At the time that it was. I didn't know the details of it so if the chief said that she was able to get off, that's all I went off of.
 - Q. I understand, but you're a supervisor, right?
- 17 A. Correct.

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- Q. You're assuming that if some manager above you gives you an order that that's in compliance with Department policy, right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Was there any indication of what he did was not in compliance within Department's policy at the time you learned of it?
- A. No.

CROSS EXAMINATION - SGT. D. HUNT

- Q. Did you call Internal Affairs and say hey I have Ms. Smith, she's over here stealing time and money?
 - A. I didn't.
 - Q. Did you ever do that at any point?
 - A. I didn't.
 - Q. Did you ever report Chief Henderson to

 Internal Affairs suggesting that he's giving favor

 treatment to subordinates because she's his friend?
- A. No.

2.4

- Q. When you saw Smith in person as a supervisor, did you ask her how she was doing as a person as an employee?
 - A. I don't remember.
 - Q. Is that part of your duties and responsibilities as sergeant to check on the well-beings of your subordinates?
 - A. I mean, maybe. I guess.
 - Q. Did you suggest whether or not asking her how she doing whether or not she should be referred to Health and Wellness?
 - A. No. I don't remember doing that, no.
- Q. Health and Wellness is supposed to be here to help members of the service, right, going through difficult times, correct?

CROSS EXAMINATION - SGT. D. HUNT

- A. Correct.
- Q. Did she ever tell you that she was still out because she was diagnosed with caregiving PTSD?
 - A. No.
 - Q. She never told you that?
 - A. No.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- Q. Did she ever tell you she wanted to change her assignment because of the connection her mother had to her previous assignment that she doesn't want to be in areas that remind her of her mother?
- A. No.
 - Q. Now these sign-in and sign-out, did Smith ever tell you to sign her in and sign out?
- 14 | A. No.
- 15 Q. Do you know why that was done?
 - A. Why she was being signed in and signed out?
- 17 Q. Yes.
- 18 | A. No.
- Q. Did you ask any of your subordinates why they were doing it?
- 21 A. No.
- Q. Did you assume as a supervisor that this was done as part of the accommodation?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Did you think that as a violation of

| | PROCEEDINGS |
|----|---|
| 1 | Department policy? |
| 2 | A. Not at the time, no. |
| 3 | MR. SANDERS: Nothing further. Thank you. |
| 4 | MR. GREEN: No. |
| 5 | HONORABLE MALDONADO: You're excused, sir. |
| 6 | Thank you. |
| 7 | (Whereupon, SERGEANT DONOVAN HUNT left the |
| 8 | witness stand.) |
| 9 | HONORABLE MALDONADO: Let's call our next |
| 10 | witness. |
| 11 | MR. GREEN: Department calls Police |
| 12 | Officer Wilson Richard. |
| 13 | {Whereupon, POLICE OFFICER WILSON RICHARD |
| 14 | was sworn in by HONORABLE ROSEMARIE MALDONADO.) |
| 15 | POLICE OFFICER RICHARD: I do. |
| 16 | COURT OFFICER CARRINGTON: Please state |
| 17 | your first and last name. |
| 18 | POLICE OFFICER RICHARD: Wilson Richard. |
| 19 | COURT OFFICER CARRINGTON: Spell your |
| 20 | first and last name. |
| 21 | POLICE OFFICER RICHARD: W-I-L-S-O-N, |
| 22 | R-I-C-H-A-R-D. |
| 23 | HONORABLE MALDONADO: You may proceed, |
| 24 | Mr. Green. |
| 25 | MR. GREEN: Thank you, Commissioner. |

- 1 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY
- 2 | MR. GREEN:

- 3 | 0. Good afternoon, officer.
- 4 A. Good morning.
- 5 Q. Officer, how long have you served with NYPD?
- 6 A. Eighteen plus years.
 - Q. Where have you been assigned?
- A. I was in IRT in the 90. Then I was in task force for about seven and a half years. Then I do borough.
- Q. Since you've been assigned to the Patrol
 Borough Brooklyn North, have you always been assigned
 to the security detail?
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
- 15 Q. How long has that been, sir?
- A. I think it was from 2015 or 2016. When task force merged to SIG, I stayed at the borough.
- Q. Are you the senior police officer in your command?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. Are you a union delegate at your command?
- 22 | A. Yes.
- Q. Do you know the Respondent in this case,
- 24 Detective Jaenice Smith?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. How do you know her, sir?
- A. She worked at the wheel.
- O. What is the wheel?
- A. The wheel is the Brooklyn North wheel. They answer calls that are from the other ten precincts in Brooklyn North. And whatever comes in comes to them and they refer you to the senior supervisors.
- Q. Were you aware that you were assigned to the Patrol Borough Brooklyn North security detail on February 7, 2024?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- Q. How did you became aware of that?
- A. Well, the guys brought it to my attention that they were signing her in. After they told me that, I went to my immediate supervisor, Sergeant Fong, to ask him why do they have to sign her in. And he told me that it's above his pay grade but it's okay for them to sign her in.
 - Q. When you said your guys, you mean?
- A. I mean the POs. As a delegate, I mean the POs.
 - Q. When you say sign her in, do you mean in the attendance application?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Why were they doing that?

- A. They get the okay. Like I said, the sergeant told me it was okay for them to do it. And I went back and tell them sergeant said it's okay for them to do it because it was above his pay grade. She had an accommodation to stay home.
 - Q. Did you know the particular circumstances of the arrangement that Detective Smith had?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Did you ask?
- 10 | A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Why did you go to Sergeant Fong and ask about this?
- A. As a delegate I didn't want my guys to get into any trouble.
 - Q. Why did you think that signing

 Detective Smith in and out at the end of her tour could
 possibly cause them trouble?
 - A. Because if a person is no-show and you sign them in and out, it is a problem.
 - Q. When you say "no show," what do you mean, be more specific?
 - A. Not coming to work. They calling in and they call out.
 - Q. What are the duties and responsibilities of a police officer assigned to the security unit?

- A. We have to, first of all, we have to maintain security of the building inside and outside. We also do inspection of the lots. And then, plus anyone who comes in to make a report, we do entertain it. We take the report and stuff.
- Q. Are you able to perform any of those duties at home?
 - A. No.

2.4

- Q. Yet Detective Smith was assigned to that command and working from home, so to speak?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Other than going to Sergeant Fong and saying why are my guys signing her in and out, did you ever ask anyone else any questions about it?
- A. Yes. Earlier this year after I found out her mother passed away and she was still calling in, I went to my immediate supervisor, Sergeant Hunt, and asked him why Detective Smith still calling in. And he told me he's not sure but he's going to ask, find out from Lieutenant Witten.
- Q. Did you yourself ever make manual entries of Detective Smith's attendance in the attendance application?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Do you remember approximately how many times?

A. No.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. And is it fair to say that every police officer, all of your guys, also did?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Do you know who authorized this?
 - A. I have no idea. All the sergeant told me it was above his pay grade.
 - Q. Did you ever speak to Detective Smith and say how do you have this arrangement, what is this arrangement?
- 11 | A. No.
- 12 Q. Why not?
- 13 A. It's not for me to do.
 - Q. Did you ever make any inquiries of Lieutenant Witten about it?
 - A. Yes. I asked Sergeant Hunt did he get to talk to lieutenant and he told me yes. But she said she would talk to the chief and get back to him but I never got a response after this.
 - Q. Did you ever yourself speak to the lieutenant?
- 22 A. No.
- Q. Did you ever yourself speak to the chief about it?
- A. No. I follow chain of command.

- Q. Did you ever make any notifications outside your command about the arrangement?
 - A. No.
- Q. Why not?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

20

21

- A. It's not for me to do.
 - Q. What do you mean it's not for you to do?
- A. I'm a PO. I'm a police officer.
- Q. You're a uniformed member of the service, correct?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- Q. Did you suspect there was some sort of misconduct going on related to this?
- A. Well, in my opinion, I'm a police officer. I
 think it's the role of a supervisor and that's why I
 brought it to their attention. And I think they
 should've taken it from there.
- Q. Did you ever get an answer to your question at all?
- 19 | A. No.
 - Q. Did you ever see Detective Smith actually at work while she was assigned to the security detail?
 - A. No.
- Q. Did you attend the funeral for her mother in December 2024?
- 25 | A. No.

- 1 MR. GREEN: Nothing further, Commissioner.
- 2 HONORABLE MALDONADO: Mr. Sanders.
- 3 MR. SANDERS: Briefly.
- 4 CROSS EXAMINATION BY
- 5 MR. SANDERS:

6

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

21

22

23

2.4

- Q. So you were the PBA delegate, correct?
- 7 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. At the time you were assigned as PBA delegate, were you aware that one of your members that at the time she was detective specialist?
 - A. Yes, she was a detective.
 - Q. Is that part of the PBA or DEA?
- 13 A. No, that's DEA.
 - Q. She's a member of the command and you're still delegate, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Did you suggest to Smith that there's services available to help her with caregiving needs as well as taking care of herself at the same time, did you ever do that?
 - A. No.
 - Q. You ever recall doing an interview with Internal Affairs Bureau that you said something to the effect that her mother is dead and gone, why doesn't she return to work?

- A. I said that to the sergeant that her mother passed away, she's buried. And I think that why is she still calling, she should be back at work.
- Q. You didn't know what she was experiencing at the time when you made that comment, right?
 - A. No, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- Q. Did you know whether or not that she was actually suffering from caregiving PTSD relating to watching her mother die?
 - A. No, sir.
 - Q. Yet, you were signing in and out as well?
- A. I did, but I stopped after.
- Q. I'm just asking if you did. You did, right?
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. So based on your understanding of the Department policy, that would be a violation of Department policy, correct?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. But yet you were doing it, right?
 - A. Like I said, yes, I stopped doing it after her mother was passed away and buried because at that point I told the sergeant I do not feel comfortable doing it. Because the accommodation was her mother was sick and that's why she was home. At this point the mother passed. God bless her soul. There was no need

1 for me to sign her in.

2

3

4

5

9

10

11

15

16

- Q. Okay, so you signed in and out because you believe that was part of her accommodation?
 - A. The accommodation that she got from the chief. I guess from whatever it was, yeah.
- Q. As a police officer, you're not a supervisor, right?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. Even as a police officer, you have certain discretion on how you handle things?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- 12 Q. Is that written on paper anywhere?
- A. It's in the Patrol Guide, right, how, what you should follow and I follow chain of command.
 - Q. No. I'm asking about discretion, about how to handle things even as a PBA person. You worked as a PBA delegate, right?
- 18 | A. Yes, sir.
- Q. You have a certain amount of discretion how you handle things, right?
- 21 A. Yes, sir.
- 22 | Q. Is that written on paper anywhere?
- 23 A. No, sir.
- 24 Q. You're not a chief, right?
- 25 | A. No, sir.

- Q. Do you know what level of any discretion he has to manage personnel under his command?
 - A. No, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

21

22

23

2.4

- Q. Do you know if anything. Well, let me ask you this: Did you call Internal Affairs Bureau and say hey, you have my officers in the Brooklyn North signing in and out and this police officer hasn't shown up to work, Detective Smith, did you ever do that?
- A. No. I went to my union supervisor, like I said.
 - Q. I'm just asking if you ever did it?
- 12 A. No, I didn't.
- Q. Did you ever have a conversation with Detective Smith?
 - A. No, sir.
 - Q. And did you ever have a conversation with Henderson?
- 18 | A. No, sir.
- 19 Q. What about Lieutenant Witten?
- 20 A. No, sir.
 - Q. Did you ever report Lieutenant Witten to the Internal Affairs Bureau suggesting that whatever order was being delegated down to her to you guys that she was violating Department policy by having you guys sign in and out?

PROCEEDINGS

- A. No, sir.
- Q. Did you ever report Sergeant Jones for the same thing that suggests that somehow the signing in and out was improper and inconsistent with policy?
 - A. You mean Sergeant Fong? No, sir.
- Q. Fong, I mean, I'm sorry. And also
 Sergeant Hunt, did you ever report him to the Internal
 Affairs Bureau suggesting that whatever orders he would
 give you was in violation of Department policy by
 signing Detective Smith in and out?
 - A. No, sir.
- 12 MR. SANDERS: Nothing further.
- 13 | MR. GREEN: I have nothing else. Thank
- 14 you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- 15 HONORABLE MALDONADO: Thank you very much,
- 16 sir. You are excused.
- 17 (Whereupon, POLICE OFFICER DONOVAN HUNT
- 18 | left the witness stand.)
- 19 HONORABLE MALDONADO: It's 1:20 now.
- 20 Let's come back at 2:30.
- MR. GREEN: Before we do that, the only exhibits that is on paper that I haven't shown or can
- 23 be handed up a copy of is the disposition of Chief
- 24 Henderson as well as the charges and specifications
- 25 | issued to him. If the Court wishes for a copy of that,

PROCEEDINGS 1 I have. 2 HONORABLE MALDONADO: Yes. So the rest of 3 them are? MR. GREEN: Are electronic recordings. 4 5 HONORABLE MALDONADO: Okay. Off the 6 record. 7 (Whereupon, a discussion was held off the 8 record.) HONORABLE MALDONADO: Back on the record. 9 10 Mr. Green, anything else on behalf of the Department. 11 MR. GREEN: No, Commissioner. Subject to 12 the Respondent testifying on her own behalf, the 13 Department rests. 14 HONORABLE MALDONADO: Mr. Sanders. 15 MR. SANDERS: Okay. Respondent calls herself, Detective Smith. 16 17 {Whereupon, DETECTIVE JAENICE SMITH was 18 sworn in by HONORABLE ROSEMARIE MALDONADO.) 19 DETECTIVE SMITH: Yes, ma'am. 20 COURT OFFICER CARRINGTON: Stay your first 21 and last name. 22 DETECTIVE SMITH: Jaenice Smith. 23 COURT OFFICER CARRINGTON: Spell your 2.4 first and last name.

DETECTIVE SMITH: J-A-E-N-I-C-E,

- $1 \mid S-M-I-T-H$.
- 2 HONORABLE MALDONADO: Please remember to
- 3 keep your voice up and try to project as much as
- 4 possible. You may proceed, sir.
- 5 MR. SANDERS: Sure.
- 6 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY
- 7 MR. SANDERS:

- Q. Good afternoon, Detective Smith.
- 9 A. Good afternoon.
- Q. When were you appointed to the New York City
 Police Department?
- 12 | A. July 11, 2005.
- Q. As part of your appointment to the New York
 City Police Department, did you have to go through
 training?
- 16 A. Yes, sir.
- 17 Q. Where did you receive the training?
- 18 A. The Police Academy.
- 19 Q. Just a brief description of your assignments.
- 20 After you left the Academy, where did you go?
- 21 A. I worked out of the 104th Precinct.
- Q. How long were you at the 104, from what year to what year?
- 24 A. 2005 to maybe 2009.
- Q. Did there come a point in time when you

- 1 changed your assignment?
- 2 A. Yes, sir.

3

5

7

8

- Q. What was your next assignment?
- 4 A. I worked at Patrol Borough Queens North.
 - Q. How long were you assigned to Queens North?
- 6 A. A number of years.
 - Q. Did there come a point in time when you left Queens North?
 - A. Yes.
- 10 Q. What was your next assignment?
- 11 A. I worked at the personnel bureau here at One 12 Police Plaza.
- 13 Q. From what year to what year?
- 14 A. I don't remember the exact years.
- 15 Q. You were there more than one year?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. What was your job assignment at the time?
- A. I was the executive assistant of retired chief Diana Pizzuti.
- 20 O. How long did you work for Pizzuti?
- A. From the time I was in Queens North up until
 she left the Department. I think it might've been 2017
 or 2019. I'm not sure.
- Q. Did there come a point in time your
- 25 assignment changed?

A. Yes, sir.

1

6

7

8

9

- 2 Q. What was your next assignment?
- A. From the time that Chief Pizzuti left the department, I went to Internal Affairs for about nine months.
 - Q. How long were you assigned to Internal Affairs?
 - A. Just about nine months.
 - Q. Where were you assigned?
- 10 A. The command center at Hudson Street.
- 11 Q. How long were you at 315 Hudson Street?
- 12 | A. Nine months.
- 13 | Q. What was your next assignment?
- 14 A. Community Affairs Bureau.
- 15 Q. About what year?
- 16 A. It would've been 2019, early 2020.
- 17 Q. How long were you assigned there?
- A. Maybe two years. It might've been from 2008 to 2020 when I went to Community Affairs.
 - Q. What was your next assignment?
- A. After Community Affairs I was the executive
 assistant to retired Chief Nilda Hofmann at Community
 Affairs. I then went to Patrol Borough Brooklyn North.
 I was the executive assistant to Assistant Chief Judith
- 25 | Harrison.

- O. How long did you work for Hofmann?
- A. From 2018 to about 2020. COVID hit and then things just kind of.
 - Q. Then you started working for Chief Harrison?
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- Q. How long did you work for Chief Harrison?
- A. 2021, maybe two years.
- Q. While you worked with Chief Harrison, did anything in your life change at that point?
- A. In 2021, May of 2021 that's when my mother was diagnosed with cervical cancer.
 - Q. At the time, were you her primary caregiver?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. At that time did you seek any Department resources to help you manage your mom's condition?
- A. Well, at that time, no. I just requested because, like I said, I was one of our executive assistants. I requested to be transferred to the midnight wheel, they had an opening. So I could be available during the day to take my mother back and forth to her cancer and radiation treatments.
- Q. What about your colleagues, did they do anything at the time to assist you with trying to manage yourself and your mom at the same time?
 - A. Yes. So that position was becoming

DIRECT EXAMINATION - DT. J. SMITH 1 available. The person who held the position had not 2 left just yet. So on the days I could not take her to 3 radiation and chemotherapy, the Community Affairs 4 officers from the 83rd Precinct would take her to her 5 appointment. 6 Q. Was that part of their duties and 7 responsibilities as police officers? Α. At the time, yes. How long did that occur that you were trying 9 Ο. 10 to manage her health while still working in the 11 Department physically at work? Like I said, it started in May of 2021. 12 13 that went on until February 2024. 14 Other than that, there was police officers Q. 15 also in the 83rd Precinct also helping you in the 16 management of the care of your mom? 17 Α. I'm sorry? These are officers, colleagues that you 18 Ο. 19 worked with in the 83rd Precinct that helped you manage 20 and care of your mom? 21 Α. Yes. 22 Ο. This is while they were on duty?

Yes.

Α.

Ο.

23

2.4

25

They were using Department equipment?

- Q. So it gets to a point in 2024, what changed?
- A. Early February 2024, for the first time my mother had complications. Her kidneys went into acute failure and she had to be admitted into the hospital.

2.4

- Q. What did you do as a primary caregiver?
- A. Well, she was in the hospital for about fifteen days. I stayed at the hospital with her overnight. So while at the hospital, because my mother also along with her cervical cancer, she was legally blind in her right eye, low vision in her left. I pretty much stayed at the hospital with her. I was a health care proxy so any information that the doctors had, they would give it to me. And I would pretty much with her manage her decisions as far as like what next treatments to be had.

And because she was having issues with her kidneys, they weren't sure if she was going to have to have surgery. They were a lot of tests they had ran on why it was the issues with her kidney, they thought it might've been her bladder. Because it was cervical cancer and her tumors had started to grow. They didn't know exactly what was happening. So I just kind of managing that, talking to the doctors, figuring out what her next steps of treatment would be.

Q. How were you managing your duties and

DIRECT EXAMINATION - DT. J. SMITH responsibilities as a police officer at that time?

A. At the time I was not at work. I was at the hospital with her full time. When all of this began, I reached out and contacted members of the community. My mother was the 83rd Precinct Community Council President at the time that this happened. So community bodies knew what was happening. I also let the borough commander at the time Chief Henderson know what was happening. And from then I was in communications with Chief Henderson. Told him what was happening with her, keeping him abreast.

I also notified the 85th Precinct commanding officer and the XO. Because she was active at the time so they all were aware. Chief Henderson knowing that I was at the hospital with her but still at the time I was assigned to the jock here at One Police Plaza because they moved all the wheels over here. He had me temporary transferred on the telephone message back to Brooklyn North. And had me reporting to Brooklyn North calling in and calling out at Brooklyn North so that I could still be at the hospital with my mother while she was receiving her treatments.

- Q. At that time did you consider that an accommodation?
- 25 | A. Yes.

2.4

- Q. Was that anything written on paper?
- A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- Q. How long did you do that?
- A. I did that all the way up until I was modified in March.
- Q. Prior to that, just talking about the hospital for a minute. Did he have you fill out a reasonable accommodation form?
- A. No.
- Q. Did he talk about a reasonable accommodation form?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Did he address it as an reasonable accommodation?
 - A. No.
 - Q. What did you understand it to be?
 - A. He just told me that to stay there, take care of my mom. He said not to worry about work and all the other things, that he would take care of everything.
 - O. What was your state of mind at the time?
 - A. Well, it was a lot of going on. Like I said this was the first time my mother had been hospitalized. Her condition had gotten increasingly worse from the time she was admitted into the hospital. She had a severe blood infection because of the

- blockage. We found it was a blockage in her kidney, a bladder causing her kidneys to fail. It was just a lot of going on. Yeah, it was just a lot of going on.
- Q. So how are you managing at that time your life?
- A. Well, my life kind of got put on hold. You know, I was with her 24 hours. Like I said, she had a lot of different ailments happening at the same time. Even with that, she was still very spicy and still very active with the 83rd Precinct. So even though was battling all these types of health issues, she was still trying to get on her Zoom calls and still holding meetings. So even though I was her health care proxy and she's looking at tests and scans and surgeries, she was still trying to manage her responsibilities as the 83rd Council President. So I was kind of juggling all those things.
- Q. At the time, how were you holding up as a police officer to go back to working and engage in police enforcement activities?
- A. That wasn't something I was able to do at that time.
- Q. Did you have a conversation with then Assistant Chief Henderson about that?
- 25 | A. Yes.

2.4

- Q. What, if anything, did you tell him?
- A. I told him everything that was happening with my mother. I told him that because of the kidney issue that she was no longer eligible for chemotherapy or radiation and that her doctor recommended hospice services but she refused.
- Q. When he recommended hospice, what did you understand that to mean?
 - A. That she wasn't going to survive.
 - Q. How did that affect you?
 - A. It was hard.

2.4

- Q. Did you have discussion with Chief Henderson about that?
- A. I stayed in contact with him regularly about everything that was happening with my mother. He knew that she was no longer eligible for chemotherapy. He knew that she was still fighting and still active in her duties. And he just pretty much told me to, you know, focus on her care, don't worry about work. Just make sure she's good.
- Q. And what did you do in response to his direction?
 - A. I took care of my mom the best I could.
 - Q. Did you physically show up at work?
- A. No.

- Q. Did you call in to work?
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

- Q. Why were you calling into work?
 - A. Because when he had me transferred back to Brooklyn North, the way that I would be accounted for was to be signed in and signed out so I wouldn't be listed as AWOL or anything like that.
 - Q. Who told you that information?
 - A. Chief Henderson.
- Q. Did he tell you that was going to be executed?
- A. No. He told me to call into the borough building at the security desk.
- Q. Did he tell you there's a particular person to call?
 - A. No. Whoever answered.
- Q. Did he ever refer your case to Health and Wellness?
- 19 A. No. Not that I know of, no.
- Q. What about did Health and Wellness ever contact you?
- 22 A. No.
- Q. What about the officer at Office of Equity
 Inclusion, do you know if he ever referred you there?
- 25 A. I don't think so.

- Q. Did they ever contact you?
- A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. So you continued during this time. Did there come a point in time when your mom passed away?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Did you tell Chief Henderson about that?
 - A. I did.
 - Q. What, if anything, did you tell him?
- A. I told him that my mother passed. He knew because he had come to visit her a couple of days before she passed away. She started transitioning right after Thanksgiving and I made him aware. I was in contact with him the entire time my mother was sick through text messages and phone calls. It was constant conversation of communication of me updating him on what's happening with her.
- Q. Was he the only member of the executive staff who knew you was out with this accommodation?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Who else knew about it?
- A. The chief of the Department, at the time Jeffrey Maddrey.
 - Q. Anyone else?
- 24 A. The first dep.
- Q. Who is the first dep?

A. Kinsella.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. Anyone else?
- A. The commanding officer of the 83rd Precinct.
- Q. Who is that?
- A. At the time he was the Captain Poggioli, I'm not sure, P-O-G-G-L-I-O.
 - Q. Under former Chief of Department Jeffrey
 Maddrey, did he ever tell you that the accommodation.
 Well, did he know that you was home being paid?
 - A. He knew I was home.
 - Q. What about First Deputy Commissioner Tania Kinsella, did she know?
 - A. She knew. She came to the house. My mother couldn't make it to the meeting that she had for all the community council presidents. She wasn't strong enough to leave the house. So she made arrangements and she came to our house.
 - Q. Did First Deputy Commissioner Tania Kinsella know that you were home being paid as an accommodation?
 - A. I'm not sure if she knew or not.
 - Q. So your mother then passes away in December, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And then during the time between February and December, how often would you communicate with then

- 1 | Assistant Chief Henderson?
 - A. Regularly.
 - Q. What kind of things would you communicate with him about?
 - A. Just everything with my mom. I kept him abreast of everything that was going on. He would text me and ask how she was doing, ask how I was holding on. If I needed anything to let him know and let the Department know whatever I needed to.
 - Q. Was he your personal friend?
- 11 A. No.

2.4

- Q. Prior to this, do you know who Henderson was?
- A. I knew who he was, yes.
 - Q. Did you ever have any interaction with him before asking him for an accommodation?
 - A. Other than him being the XO of the borough, no.
 - Q. Now in December, what do you tell Henderson after your mom passes away?
 - A. I went in and met with him. And I told him what I was dealing with at the time. I was having some mental health issues. Not necessarily because she passed away, but because of the length of time that she was passing away. She had cervical cancer, she was 76 so it literally destroyed her body from the inside out.

And again, like I said, my mother was extremely spicy. Because she still, the entire time she fought through. And she believed she was going to get better. So she stayed. She never stepped down from her duties as Community Council President. She never stepped down from her duties from the community board.

2.4

And she would constantly look to me for reassurance that she was going to get better. She would ask me how do you know, how are we going to know when the cancer is out of my body now that the radiation and the chemo was finished. And I would have to pretty much lie to her the entire year and tell her that, you know, you'll be fine. I didn't want to break her spirit so I pretty much told her what I needed to tell her in order to believe she would be okay.

- Q. Did there come a point in time where you sought the assistance of a mental health professional?
- A. I was dealing with the mental health professional the entire time my mother was sick.
- Q. When did you start dealing with mental health professionals?
- A. It was really before 2024. But during 2024 once my mother went into the hospital and everything kind of snowballed from there, I started talking to my therapist twice a week. And sometimes in between, if I

DIRECT EXAMINATION - DT. J. SMITH needed emergency appointments and such.

- Q. Why were you speaking to her at least twice a week and sometimes emergency appointments?
- A. Because I was out having a really hard time managing everything.
- Q. Did you tell the Police Department about that?
 - A. I told Henderson.

2.4

- Q. Did he offer any Department assistance in addition or to supplement what you already received?
- A. No. He just told me do what I needed to do to take care of my mental health.
- Q. Did you tell him whether or not there was an official diagnosis that you were given by a mental health professional?

MR. GREEN: Objection. Commissioner, my objection is this: This trial's been scheduled for quite some time. There has been demand for reciprocal discovery. Counsel has amended the documents that he's indicating to the court and to me that he intended to introduce into evidence. At no time has counsel ever indicated that there were any medical records, diagnoses, anything formal of that nature. All of that would've been something the Department would be entitled to have. To offer testimony about diagnosis

DIRECT EXAMINATION - DT. J. SMITH at this point is improper and I would ask that it be stricken.

MR. SANDERS: We don't have to deal with the diagnosis. We can talk about her experiences and what she told Henderson. We can stick with that.

HONORABLE MALDONADO: Okay. Let's take stick with that.

- Q. But you did tell him you had a diagnosis, right, you don't have to say what it was. Did you tell him something?
 - A. Yes.

2.4

- Q. What did he tell you in response to that?
- A. He said that, when he gave me the accommodation to take care of my mother, that we didn't know how long it would last. We didn't know how long she would essentially be alive. And that he wasn't looking for me to return back to work immediately and that I should do what I needed to do to make sure that my mental health was in tact before I return back to work.
- Q. During that whole entire period February of 2024 up until the time you were modified, where were you located?
 - A. I was at my home.
- 25 Q. Were you always there?

A. Yes.

1

6

7

8

- Q. Did the members of the Department show up there?
- 4 | A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Were you hiding anywhere?
 - A. No.
 - Q. There comes a point in time where you were visited by the Internal Affairs Bureau, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. At that time in March you were modified, right?
- 12 | A. I was.
- Q. During the course of this investigation, you were asked questions about why you were out all this time, right?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. During the interview, did anyone from the
 Internal Affairs Bureau point to a rule that says
 Henderson couldn't give you this accommodation because
 he's precluded from doing it; did anyone show you a
 rule?
- 22 A. No.
- Q. There were suggestions that you stole and did all this stuff, right?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. Was it your intention to steal anything?
- A. No.

1

2

4

8

- 3 | Q. Did you steal anything?
 - A. No.
- Q. What about these Department records, did you tell anyone to change them?
- 7 A. No.
 - Q. Did you have any conversation with how to facilitate this accommodation?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. When was your 20th year?
- MR. GREEN: Commissioner, can we have a sidebar, please, on this issue?
- 14 MR. SANDERS: It's a simple question.
- MR. GREEN: There's a reason.
- MR. SANDERS: You know what I'm going to do, we don't have to deal with that. We'll keep it
- 18 | clean.
- 19 HONORABLE MALDONADO: Let's keep it clean.
- 20 MR. SANDERS: We'll deal with that later.
- Q. Let me ask you another question. You were modified, right?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. And then you were given charges and
- 25 specifications in this case, right?

A. Yes.

1

5

6

7

8

- Q. Now, did anyone from the Legal Bureau ever contact you about this accommodation that you were qiven?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Let me ask you this: During this time period from February 2024 until March of 2025, did you fill out a reasonable accommodation form?
 - A. No.
- 10 Q. Why not?
- 11 A. Because Chief Henderson told me he would take 12 care of it. He would take care of everything.
- HONORABLE MALDONADO: I'm sorry. I didn't hear your response.
- DETECTIVE SMITH: Chief Henderson said that he would take care of what is necessary.
- 17 Q. At the time, what was his rank?
- 18 A. Assistant Chief.
- Q. Is that an executive in the police department?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. Did you rely upon his representation?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Did you ever make any entries into the
 Department system to account for your whereabouts?

CROSS EXAMINATION - DT. J. SMITH

A. No.

1

5

6

7

- Q. While you were being interviewed, did anyone talk to you about the EEO guidelines with respect to reasonable accommodations?
 - A. The IAB interview?
 - Q. Yes.
 - A. No. They just asked me if I did any or something like that. I don't remember exactly.
- 9 MR. SANDERS: Nothing further.
- 10 HONORABLE MALDONADO: Thank you,
- 11 Mr. Sanders. Mr. Green.
- MR. GREEN: Yes, ma'am. Thank you.
- 13 CROSS EXAMINATION BY
- 14 MR. GREEN:
- 15 Q. Good afternoon, detective.
- 16 A. Good afternoon.
- Q. I'm going to ask you questions. If there's anything you don't understand or need repeated, please just say so, okay?
- 20 A. Okay.
- Q. Isn't it true that you were assigned
 temporarily or otherwise to the Patrol Borough Brooklyn
 North security detail February 7, 2024?
- 24 A. On or about, yes.
- Q. Isn't it true that you did not appear present

for duty that is at work physically at Patrol Borough Brooklyn North's security detail from February 7, 2024 through March 25, 2025?

- A. I wasn't required to, no.
- Q. Isn't it true that you were not present physically at that location?
 - A. Yes.

2.4

- Q. It's true also isn't it that when you had initial conversations with Assistant Chief Henderson about the arrangement that you had with him that he told you to call the Patrol Borough Brooklyn North security desk and tell whoever answered that you should be entered as present for duty?
 - A. Yes. That was part of the accommodation.
- Q. And isn't it true that you were told also to make a similar phone call each workday at the conclusion of your scheduled tour to be marked end of tour?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And it's true isn't it that you understood that the uniform member of service who answered the telephone of that desk would be making manual entries for you in the attendance application?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Each and every time you called, yes?

- A. Correct.
- Q. Isn't it true, also, that you performed no official security detail duties at all from February 7, 2024 through March 25, 2025?
 - A. I wasn't required to, no.
 - Q. Putting aside whether you believe you were required to. Isn't it true that you performed no duties as a member of Patrol Borough Brooklyn North security detail during that year?
- A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- Q. It's not true?
- 12 A. I did not.
 - Q. Isn't it also true that you continued during that period of time to receive time, pay and benefits as if you were present for duty at work each and every tour that you were required to be there?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Is it also true that you never applied for any type of long term leave and never applied for any type of official legal accommodation during that entire period of time during that absence?
 - A. No.
 - Q. It's not true?
 - A. I did not.
- 25 Q. You did not apply for anything like that.

- Did you familiarize yourself with the rules and procedures of the NYPD regarding applying for such leave during that period of time?
 - A. No.

- Q. Did you inquire of anyone about applying for any type of long term leave or accommodation during that period of time?
 - A. No.
- Q. Did anyone specifically tell you don't make inquiries, don't inquire at all how to apply for a long term leave?
 - A. Did anyone tell me?
- Q. Did anyone specifically tell you not to, don't inquire, don't look into applying for any type of long term leave?
 - A. No. I wasn't in contact with anyone.
- Q. Is it fair to say that you understood in addition to your whereabouts being accounted for by making those entries from the attendance application that that was also a way for you to continue to get paid?
 - A. I mean, yeah.
- Q. Are you in any way contesting the value of the time, pay and benefits that you received during that period as described by Executive Director

1 Joseph Lodispoto?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

2.4

- A. I don't know the exact number.
- Q. So are you in any way contesting that Executive Director's calculation?
 - A. I don't know his exact calculation so I'm not contesting anything.
 - Q. Is it true that you had been a POPPA representative in your career?
 - A. Back in 2017 or so.
 - O. Tell the court what POPPA is.
- 11 A. It's Police Officers Providing Peer 12 Assistance.
 - Q. What, if any, role does POPPA have or representatives of POPPA have in applying for the recognized accommodations or other types of legally binding arrangements for members of the service in need?
 - A. What is the role?
- 19 Q. Yes, what does POPPA do?
 - A. POPPA they provided peer assistance to other police officers. So I was on the hot line. And whenever you would be on call, if someone called who was in crisis, you would speak with them and try to help them resolve their issues.
 - Q. Was part of your being a POPPA representative

knowing about various resources the Department offered its uniformed members of service in need?

A. Yes.

2.4

- Q. Did you contact POPPA at any time during the period you were absent from work?
 - A. No.
- Q. Did you contact any of the resources that you had referred to other members of this Department for as a POPPA representative --
 - A. No because.
 - Q. During that period of time?
- A. Because once chief told me not to worry about work, I literally focused on caring for my mother.
- Q. Now you indicated on direct examination that former chief of Department Jeffrey Maddrey knew that you were at home, you remember saying something like that?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. That's because he personally visited you there?
 - A. He did. He visited my mother, not me.
- Q. But you have conversations about the arrangement that you had with Chief Maddrey regarding calling in at the beginning of each tour and end of each tour to have entries present for duty?

- A. We didn't have a full conversation. He was there to visit my mom.
- Q. Similar question for first Deputy

 Commissioner Kinsella. Did you have any conversations with her at all about the arrangements that had been put in place involving you calling in and causing entries to be made in Department records?
 - A. No, I told her I was there with her 24/7.
- Q. Did you tell either of them specifically 'I never applied for any formal accommodation'?
 - A. We didn't have that long of a conversation.
- Q. You also indicated that nothing was ever put on paper. Is that your testimony regarding the arrangements that were made?
 - A. That I know of at the time.
- Q. You said that former Assistant

 Chief Henderson was not a personal friend of yours,

 correct?
- A. No.

2.4

- O. You had known him, though, for 17, 18 years?
- A. I know a lot of people in this Department for 17, 18 years. Not personally know, though.
 - Q. Is it fair to say he was a close personal friend of your mother's?
 - A. No. They had a working relationship.

- Q. Did it go beyond a working relationship?
- A. No. She was 76.
- Q. That's not. No. What I mean is did they confer with each other, were they confidents, that is did she speak with him about his role as the borough commander as a supervisor in Brooklyn North?
- A. As a community council president, yes. She had conversations with all executives and some non-executives in the boroughs.
- Q. You went to Chief Henderson's office on or about December 26, 2024, isn't that right?
- A. Right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

20

- Q. Was that your idea or his?
- 14 A. It was mine.
- 15 Q. Why did you go there?
- A. Because I wanted to update him on my condition, what was happening.
- Q. And that was about a couple weeks after your mother had passed away, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And it was after your mother's funeral, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And you had a conversation with him about your future plans, correct?

A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- Q. You indicated to him that you were considering applying to the Community Affairs Bureau?
 - A. I was looking to transfer out of the borough.
- Q. Specifically you wanted to be assigned to a post out of Community Affairs on Pennsylvania Avenue?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. You also told him that you wanted to stay, that you were not prepared to return to work at that time?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. You said it was because of the stress and other mental health issues that you were undergoing?
 - A. I was struggling, yes.
- Q. And you also indicated that your son was involved in some sort of weightlifting competition in Albany that you wanted to attend, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. So you had family matters to attend to, personal family matters that you wanted to be available to attend?
- A. Yes. We had just lost my mom so that was the first competition he would be having after she passed and I wanted to make sure I was there for him.
 - Q. And you believed and you expressed to him

CROSS EXAMINATION - DT. J. SMITH 1 that it was more important for you to have this 2 experience with your family than to return to work, 3 correct? I didn't have that type of more important. 4 Α. Ι 5 just told him I wanted to support my son. Did you submit a leave of absence so you 6 7 could attend your son's competition? 8 Α. Yes. 9 But you still didn't want to return to work? 10 I don't think I was ready to return to work Α. 11 at that time. 12 Ο. Now at that time you said you were suffering 13 from? 14 PTSD. Α. 15 Mental health related issues, correct? Ο. 16 Correct. Α. 17 Ο. Did you ever go to the counselling services unit of the NYPD? 18 19 Α. No. 20 Did you ever go to the psychology unit of the Ο. 21 NYPD? 22 Α. I had a personal therapist so no. 23 Did you ever contact members of the medical Q. 2.4 division to seek using the unlimited sick leave with a

person of your rank and choice?

A. No.

2.4

- Q. So you knew that you had a mental health condition, illness, whatever you want to call it?
 - A. That's what I disclosed, yes.
- Q. And you did not in any way contact the medical division or report sick or anything like that of that nature?
- A. No, I had a conversation with chief and a private conversation. And I disclosed everything to him. I was extremely transparent and he told me to continued what I had been doing and to take care of my mental health.
- Q. What exactly made you think that an assistant chief, a borough commander had the authority to allow you to have a no-show job for over 13 months with the NYPD? Why would you a member of this Department with 17 to 18 years of experience think that existed, that authority existed?
- A. Because he said he did. Because he said he had the authority. He had executive discretion as the borough commander of Brooklyn North.
 - Q. Did you ever look if he did?
- A. Sir, no. I was dealing with my mother at the time. When this all happened he told me that as borough commander he had the discretion to make that

1 decision. I believed him.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. You believed that he could authorize a no-show job with the NYPD?
- A. I believed what he did for me, he was capable of doing. I didn't even work for him. He had me transferred from patrol service back to Brooklyn North specifically for this. So I believed everything he told me.
- Q. And you never looked at any rules, procedures --
 - A. Absolutely not.
- Q. Let me finish. Any rules, procedures, quides?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Anything at all regarding what, if any, authority he actually had, you never bothered to look and see if he actually had that authority?
 - A. My mother was dying so no, I did not. The moment he told me not to worry about it, I literally stopped worrying about it. I was both trying to keep her alive knowing she was going to die and keep her pain free.
 - Q. And then you continued to stay out of work?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. Believing that he still had the authority to

approve your continued no-show position for many, many months to come, correct?

A. Correct.

2.4

- Q. So you go to see him on or about December 26, 2024, you tell him you're not ready to return to work or at the very least not ready to return to any commands in Brooklyn North so you're looking to make the transfer to the Community Affairs Bureau asking if he could facilitate that?
- A. Right. I talked to him about not wanting to go back to the borough building.
- Q. So at that point you have an open ended no-show position when you leave his office; is that right?
 - A. For the most part, yes.
- Q. And you don't question that at all, that's okay with you?
- A. He immediately told me to do what I needed to do to make sure my mental health was intact.
 - Q. So then you come back to his office on or about March 6th or 7th, right?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Do you remember which of those days it was?
 - A. I think it was the 6th.
- Q. Why did you go and see him on that day?

- A. Because I was in constant contact with him and I wanted to give him an update of my condition.
 - Q. Why on that day in person?

2.4

- A. That's the day he was available.
- Q. But you didn't come in a month earlier, you came in then. Why? Why didn't you try to come in sooner?
- A. I had started reaching. I reached out to his assistant to try get dates in February. And by the time we went back and forth that was the date that was available.
- Q. After seeing him December 26th, you had this open ended no-show position but you decide you're going to go see him on or about March 6th. You go into his office and you have conversation with him. Again, you say, I'm not ready to come back to work, right?
- A. It was a little bit more detailed than that. I told him exactly what I was dealing with.
- Q. And again, you never contacted any members of the medical division?
 - A. No because I was in contact with him.
- Q. But why do you think your contact with him and your knowing of assistant chief change the rules for you from every other member of the service?
 - A. That's not what I believed.

- Q. Well, did you think at that time you were the only member of the service who had mental health issues?
 - A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. Did you think you were the only member of service who lost a loved one?
 - A. No.
- Q. Why did you think that the rules about getting extended leaves didn't apply to you that everyone else had to follow?
 - A. That's not what I thought.
- Q. But that's what you did, isn't it?
- 13 A. I followed the borough commander's directive.
 - Q. Which deviated from every rule in the book?

 MR. SANDERS: Objection.
 - A. I don't know everybody else he gave an accommodation to.
 - Q. You decided if this guy says it's okay, I don't have to follow any of the rules?
 - A. It wasn't "this guy." He was borough commander.
 - Q. Who happens to know your mother for many, many years?
- A. It had nothing to do with that.
- 25 HONORABLE MALDONADO: Counsel, you're

- getting argumentative. You can make your arguments, but not here.
 - Q. So in early March you go to see him and after having a discussion, you somehow come up with the date of April 18th as a date that you think you might be ready to come back, right?
 - A. Yes.

2.4

- Q. How was that date, how did you land on that date?
- A. That's just the date we came up with. By the time we talked back and forth, it's the date that he came up with.
- Q. If all was going to plan, you would've continued to have a no-show job with the NYPD until around April 18th, right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Which was roughly four plus months after your mom passed away, correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Roughly about four months?
- A. About.
 - Q. And you still at that point didn't have any intention of notifying anyone else in the Department who could actually authorize a legal accommodation or legal leave of absence, right?

- A. When I went to speak with chief in March, I explained to him what was happening, mentioned what it was. So I gave him details what it was, I told him my therapist was willing to put me out sick. He told me it wasn't necessary and I need to do what I needed to do to take care of my mental health. So that's what I did, sir.
- Q. Initially, he told you do whatever you had to do to take care of your mom and then do whatever you have to do to take care of yourself?
 - A. Correct.

2.4

- Q. And you don't notify anyone else about this situation in the NYPD who requires notifications about having mental health issues?
- A. I wouldn't know who to notify beyond the borough commander. That's who I was in contact with directly.
- Q. But you didn't call medical?

 HONORABLE MALDONADO: Asked and answered.

 Asked and answered.

MR. SANDERS: Note my objection.

- Q. And your plan would've been to continue to call and have yourself marked present for duty every workday up until April 18th?
 - A. That's what I was instructed to do.

| | PROCEEDINGS |
|----|--|
| 1 | MR. GREEN: Nothing further, Commissioner. |
| 2 | HONORABLE MALDONADO: Mr. Sanders? |
| 3 | MR. SANDERS: The Respondent rests. |
| 4 | HONORABLE MALDONADO: Thank you very much. |
| 5 | (Whereupon, DETECTIVE JAENICE SMITH left |
| 6 | the witness stand.) |
| 7 | HONORABLE MALDONADO: Anything else from |
| 8 | either side? |
| 9 | MR. GREEN: No, ma'am. |
| 10 | MR. SANDERS: No. |
| 11 | HONORABLE MALDONADO: Are we ready for |
| 12 | closing? |
| 13 | MR. SANDERS: I'm ready. |
| 14 | HONORABLE MALDONADO: Let's do it. |
| 15 | MR. SANDERS: As I suggested in opening is |
| 16 | exactly what the Department did. They didn't bring one |
| 17 | witness in here other than arguments from counsel that |
| 18 | suggests that Henderson violated any rule. I've said |
| 19 | that from the very beginning and that's exactly what |
| 20 | played out in the trial room. This is kind of |
| 21 | reminding me of something happening in corporate law. |
| 22 | It's called the business judgment rule with a general |
| 23 | analysis. Business judgement rule is I don't like what |
| 24 | this boy did, right. So the next boy comes in, I don't |
| 25 | like what they did. And they want the court to solve |
| | |

2.4

the problem and the court says hey there's a separate rule. Just because you don't agree with their decision, I'm not going to come along and second guess the first decision. Unless it's an act in Florida, some other kind of exception obviously. But that's what's happening here. That's exactly what's happening here.

The Department is suggesting that the subordinate is responsible for the rules and the law. Not that they're responsible for the rules and the law, the subordinate is responsible for knowing the rules and law. We got to see some interesting things in here. We got to see the supervisor came in, don't even know what the law is. It's actually disheartening to see that. There's a big difference between someone miscommunicating, misunderstanding the obligations and outright stealing. There was no stealing, what's the steal? It's a no-show job.

Since we couldn't go too much into it, I understand, Commissioner, we have these little parameters we have to deal with. They don't know what they're doing here is wrong. The EEOC guideline covers all this stuff by the way. There's no such thing as they have to do it this way or that way. The employer is the, they want to say it's an interactive process,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the employer can fashion any kind of situation they want really. They can say don't show up at work, show up at work, go to this assignment, go to that assignment, it's right of the employee. To suggest that somehow an assistant chief is just some guy. He's an executive in the police department.

Do you think the employee should be able to rely upon what he says? You're assuming that he understands department policy otherwise how did he get on to assistant chief. He's an executive. Now you want to argue against someone that an employee And then blame her for not reasonably relied upon. contacting EEO, for not contacting this person and that person and this person. No. I keep saying over and over when I come in here: Stop treating these members of the service, you make them inanimate objects. They are human beings, they're like everybody else. make mistakes, they fail, they cry, they get upset. Maybe the public you know don't get to see much, but the people who are part of the culture, uniformed people, yeah, we get to see it all the time. not do it in front of you, but they do it in front of people who are uniformed members.

Since we want to keep talking about the members of the service stuff. There hasn't been a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

witness to come in here that says Henderson violated his discretion. We keep hearing arguments about it, but no one knows. No one came in here and said it. Because all the supervisors all agree on one thing: They have a certain amount of discretion. How much We don't know. You know why? discretion is? Because it's not written anywhere. Discretion is something I call selective outrage. We're upset with this person but not upset when it's this person. And believe me, we can compare, which is not to be part of this case. You're going to see this is done a lot more than everyone likes to talk about.

Now, you take this woman who is dealing with the death of her mother. She's got to deal with the demise of her mother right before her, her own mental health trying to manage herself. And then we turn into a thief. She wasn't hiding anywhere. She wasn't saying oh, I'm in Atlantic City or some vacation somewhere. She's home dealing with that situation. So what did she do, good bearer of difference, an executive made a decision.

Now the administration comes in and they don't like the decision and now we want to criminalize everything. There's nothing criminal here. Because if that's true that means all the people here, I guess

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

they helped facilitate the crime. Then you have to ask the question of why wasn't Lieutenant Witten given charges and specifications, right? She was the facilitator, she should've been charged with charges and specifications but she wasn't. What about the other sergeant, Hunt. He was a facilitator, he wasn't given charges and specifications. Because according to the Department's theory, they all helped her commit this crime and they knew about it. And the other Sergeant Fong, he was the facilitator, too. According to the Department's theory, that means he should've received charges and specifications. And forget about the police officers. All the police officers who were signing their names in and out, guess what they were They were committing crime of official doing? misconduct, right, according to the Department theory.

And also modifying these government records. That's a crime, too. No one's charged. Only one person: Smith, the person that needed the support. We show how you support her is you bring her up on charges and you claim that she's a thief, she's stealing things, she's causing this to happen, causing that to happen. No. She's trying to survive. And this is the thanks she gets 20 years into the police department. This is what you get? They always say we support the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

members. We support the members is BS. You don't support your members. You really don't. Because if that's true, then you tell me why every supervisor who was sitting up on that damn stand didn't make one referral to any of these so-called supportive groups. Not one of them did it. They all failed in their obligations to her. All of them. From Witten all the way down, they failed.

And the Department has failed her by bringing her on the damn charges against her right now. then Henderson is not even here. So either he's a coward who couldn't stand up to his decision or the Department made a strategic decision not to bring him here. Why not? He's the one who should be answering for his conduct. She has the right to rely upon his good, bad or different information. It's not because she's in, what, cahoots with him. Why? Because they know each other? People know each other in the police department all day long. That doesn't make it misconduct. And that doesn't mean they're getting favor treatment. If you even understand the Police Department, you know dog-on well that these accommodations are done all the time. But it's selective outrage for who it's done for. That's what it boils down to.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

We're suggesting that Detective Smith benefitted? Oh, she benefitted. She sat there and watched her mom dying. Her mom is telling her oh, I'm going to be all right. Yeah, mom, everything is going Meanwhile, Detective Smith is dying inside to be okay. herself because she's got to lie to her mother and watch her deteriorating right in front of her. what we're sitting here doing? Second quessing her That's the wrong thing on so many levels, it's not even funny. And then not one witness. The Department didn't call one witness that says what rule Detective Smith Henderson violated. Not one witness, Not argument. That's not you violating the Show me a rule that's violating.

Let's talk about this is a rules organizations. That's all nonsense, too. Show me a rule. Show me where discretion was violated. Where? There is no rule. Because he used discretion. He was the borough command there. He had well over a thousand people working for him that he managed and had to be a supervisor. And you know how we know that he didn't do anything wrong? Because all his subordinates, you know what they did? They all supported it. And the police officer from the 83rd Precinct, that's not police work. She says it's police work, it's not. Police work is

out there on patrol chasing the radio and all the other stuff that police officers do. We understand what that is.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

But bringing mom to the hospital and all that stuff, that's not police work. But yet they were doing Why? Because there's something that happens in the police culture. You know what we try to do, we try to take care of each other. But depending on who's being taken care of we have selective outrage again. Well, I don't like this person so oh, we're going to say it's stealing, we're going to say it's doing this and doing that. Meanwhile this stuff is done all the Way before I was even on the job. Probably from 1645 since the inception of the police department. This ain't nothing new. This is selective outrage. How does she benefit? Watching her mother deteriorate oh maybe she should've come to work. Then when we wonder why we can't anything about the suicide rate in You know why? Because we mistreat people all here. day long. That's what happens. Oh, you didn't call, you didn't call.

The supervisor responsible for making sure the member is okay, that's not my rule. That's not Eric Sanders's rule. That's the law, by the way, the police department don't seem to follow. The law is

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

very clear. The employer has an obligation to make sure that the employee's taken care of. It's not the other way around. It goes this way, not that way. went and did the best way she can. We didn't get into it, but I'm certain she had her own therapist because she doesn't trust the police department like most of these cops. They don't trust anybody in the commission because they're treated different even more; you're crazy, insensitive, they should be back to work. you know how we know how the culture is because this guy gets up on the stand and says well her mother is dead already in the ground, she should be back to work. That's the culture of the police department. what the public doesn't get to see. The nonsense they deal with and Smith had to deal with.

There's no evidence in this courtroom that one, that she has since we're talking about these crimes that we're trying to allude to, the mens rea of what? The intent to steal. Who has the intent to steal? There's no evidence of that. What we have is a disagreement about how an accommodation was given. I know the Department uses word arrangement like it's personal. You can use arrangement, you use accommodation, you can use any word you want. An objective court looks at the situation for what it is.

It's an accommodation. There's no suggestion of any misconduct.

2.4

During an investigation, they tried to suggest that she had a personal relationship with Henderson as if people of color can't actually be business and deal with colleagues as opposed to having personal relationships all the time. There's no personal relationship. There's no evidence of grand larceny. The only thing we know is that she followed her supervisor's directive. And I think in his Department interview he says that very thing. And he says something else that he thought he had in his discretion to do what he did. That alone sinks the Department's case.

For someone to come along to say later that it's not right. Who is it because I didn't see him today. And I don't know how the Commissioner is going to rule on that because there should've been someone to come in here and say at this level the chief has certain amount of discretion. But then of course they can't in here because it's all a Morpheus, it all depends on who it is. If they like the decision or if they don't like the decision. There's no evidence of grand larceny. The only thing we know is that she was being paid and she was following the directive of

Henderson. And I think once you see his statement, you see that part is true. There's no crime.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

The official misconduct knowingly refrain from duties to obtain a benefit. That's the same thing, that's just really piling on the same type of The bottom line is she wasn't trying to get a benefit. She was trying to do what she thought she could do which is take care of herself and her mom within the confines of the law. That's all she did. There's no evidence of official misconduct as well so it's mischaracterizing. Failed to report for 184 All right, she's not disagreeing that she was home. That's not a disagreement. That's how it's being characterized. If you know anything about reasonable accommodations, reasonable accommodations are done lots of different ways. Physically at work, not at work, assignment changes. And there's at least five I can rattle off the top of my head where I know where police officers stayed off the job for years and never did a day back on patrol. Yet they were carried with reasonable accommodation. So to act like we're having this is something new, selective outrage.

Failed to follow up on an accommodation request. That's interesting to suggest even. There is nothing in law that says she has to give directive

2.4

request. Matter of fact, if you look at the EOC guidelines, it's very clear. There's Case Law that support this on the district level and the appellate level, it says something very clear. Once someone makes an oral demand, in other words 'I need an accommodation,' the law applies. And then it's up to the employer to then engage in an interactive process; not the employee. We seem to, like, turn things on its head when you come into the police department. Yeah, she didn't file an accommodation form. But she asked for an accommodation.

You know who is supposed to do it? And I got this sergeant to admit it. That sometimes supervisors fill out forms. We act like we're shocked about that, too. Officers get hurt, they get shot, they get injured and guess who is filling out the forms?

Supervisors are filing the form, other police officers are filling out the form. We act like this is something new. This ain't nothing new. Again, selective outrage because Smith did it. There's no fair and final anything because she's not obligated under the law to follow anything. If you want to look at the Department rule, it's inconsistent with the law. There's no evidence of any misconduct there as well.

Falsifying business records. The

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Department's claim is she made or caused false payroll entries to be made. Well, we had all the witnesses get up there on the stand. They said no, Smith never told Matter of fact, the police officers who us to do this. made the entry, they can't even tell you how it originated. All they knew to them is that it was supposed to be done and they did it. So now we're going to blame Smith for that? We can't even get a definitive answer on how that even happened. They all thought that was part of the accommodation. She didn't All she thought she was following the cause anything. direction of her chief. Not some quy. The highest quy in the borough and one of the highest chiefs in the police department.

Attendance application, same thing here. There's really another group of charges saying the same thing. There's attendance application and there's entries being made, but no one, not one witness here today, can say Smith caused me to do this or she directed us to do this. They all thought, all the sergeants and the one police officer that came here because there were others, that this was supposed to be done and they did it. And they thought that was with the accommodation. So how can Smith be charged with that misconduct? There's no violation here. Those are

the six charges.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

And the last thing I'm going to say is that, you know, we want the Commissioner to really consider what happened here. And there's a big difference between having a disagreement about whether a person has discretion or has that authority as opposed to if they have authority and whether the employee, because that's what she is the subordinate, is responsible for someone else giving her information that was either If she's following in good accurate or inaccurate. faith--the Case Law is clear on this--the employer is responsible for the manager's information or misinformation. So therefore, after looking at all the evidence, we believe that the Court should find Detective Smith not guilty and let her lead off to retirement and on to the next phase of her life. Thank you, Commissioner.

HONORABLE MALDONADO: Thank you Mr. Sanders. Counsel.

MR. GREEN: Thank you, Commissioner. The sense of entitlement demonstrated by Respondent and her counsel on her behalf is shocking. Counsel's repeatedly use of the phrase selective enforcement or selective prosecution when it comes to the Department enforcing the rules and the laws of the City and State

of New York. As I began in my opening statement, the same thing applies here. These facts are not in dispute. The Respondent was assigned to the security detail in February 7, 2024. She never appeared for work, not once from February 7, 2024 through March 25, 2025.

Based upon an arrangement, she called in every workday at the beginning of her tour and spoke to whichever police officer answered the phone and told that officer mark me present for duty at such and such time. She did the same thing for at the end of each tour of each workday. Knowing that she wasn't at work for that tour, knowing that making those entries would cause her to accrue time, pay and benefits for that tour and knowing that the entries essentially were false. She knew that there was zero duties in her assignment that she could perform from home. And she acknowledged that she performed exactly zero official duties from February 7, 2024 to March 25, 2025.

She even had the audacity to ask

Sergeant Hunt when she came in to speak to the chief in
early March 2025, having been assigned to the command

13 months and marked present for duty each workday, by
the way what does somebody who works here actually do?

But she's the victim, Commissioner. She was paid as if

2.4

she performed official duties and was present for work every workday. The sections of the law which are cited in the charges and specifications. Specifically those that deal with applying for specific accommodation, which were provided to court and counsel prior to the beginning of this proceedings, specifically detail who has to do what and when and how. I heard draw your attention to 331-21 of the Administrative Guide, reasonable accommodations for employees and applicants. Who is supposed to fill out the relevant caption of the reasonable accommodation? The member of service requesting it.

This is a woman who worked for POPPA, but she's the victim, just ask her. The Department showed that the total value of the time, pay and benefits for all the time that the Respondent failed to appear for work exceeded \$160,000. The Respondent received that despite not performing a minute of work during that time. Yet she's the victim, just ask her. The Respondent was a member of this Department or is a member of this Department who worked for many years in different commands, in precincts as an assistant, as an executive assistant for a variety of different chiefs. Yet she believed that an assistant chief, a borough commander, somehow had the power to grant her the power

to commit crimes.

2.4

Now one of the things that counsel seemed to stress in his opening statement and in his closing statement is that the Department never came forward with a rule that specifically says an executive, specifically an assistant chief or borough commander of this Department, does not have the, to use his word discretion, to authorize stealing from the good people of the City of New York. That executive also doesn't have the power or the discretion to authorize you to drive drunk today, Commissioner. There doesn't have to be a rule that says you don't have the power to authorize the commission of crimes. Because that's apparently is what the defense requires.

The defense also preposterously seems to suggest that this case is somehow focused on his client's based upon some physical characteristic of her. There has been zero, and I repeat zero evidence to support that claim. There is nothing in any record, any document, anything anywhere to suggest that any physical characteristics of the Respondent plays any role in this at all, to suggest somehow that the Police Commissioner is actually enforcing the rules and laws of the City of New York and of this Department somehow is offensive to her is problematic. Whether or not

there has been criminal activity conducted in the past by the NYPD is not, I repeat not a defense to crimes committed by the Respondent.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Counsel took it upon himself through again testified through summation that he knows five, six people within the Police Department assigned none-NYPD The fact that counsel might be aware of duties. criminal activity in the past by other members of the police department does not in any way at all justify his client's committing crimes today. There is no reasonable way an intelligent person with as much time on the job as the Respondent and as much experience as the Respondent could possibly reasonably believe that a no-show job for 13 months plus, and it would have been longer had the IAB not intervened, is somehow okay. Ιt is impossible. It defies logic to assume that anyone with a couple active brain cells would conclude that it's okay to continue to get paid falsify records, call false entries in official Department records as well as business records to fail to perform duties that you're required to form, to get paid for not showing up to work and not follow any rules seeking official leave from the Department is okay. It is not okay. Respondent must be held accountable.

The Department is not unsympathetic to the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Respondent having suffered through the drawn out death of her mother. However, that does not excuse in any sense of the word Respondent's criminal misconduct. She cannot use her personal hardships to justify stealing from the people of this city. Counsel actually tried to suggest that the last witness called by this Department, Police Officer Richard, somehow acted callously, inappropriately, when he suggested to his supervisor, on behalf of the membership of his union, wait a minute, she was out because her mother passed away. Well, because her mother was dying, her mother passed away, why isn't she back to work. Counsel suggests that's callous. Working as a member of this Department is not a chalice. We don't pay people to not show up. If that were the case, every one of us would happily sit at home, watching old movies and reading books but we don't. All of us have personal hardships, but we cannot use those as justifications for criminal conduct. We cannot use those as excuses to somehow drop and abandon all common sense and say oh, he's a borough commander he has, to use counsel's word discretion. As a member of this Department, once again, cannot be made any clearer. You do not have discretion to authorize the commission of crimes. And anyone who thinks so or relies upon it

is a fool.

2.4

Commissioner, I submit that all of the evidence in this case that was presented by the Department proves all of the specifications. No other penalty is appropriate other than the immediate termination of employment of this Respondent. Thank you.

the parties, this is your choice. I'm going to give you one week to submit any legal arguments, but more importantly applicable rules, regulations, relating to procedures for accommodations and procedures for leave in the Department. I also would like you to focus on any Case Law because we've both sort of talked extensively and had different opinions about relying on the supervisor's instructions or recommendation. So I would like that for you to submit within the week, any Case Law that may or may not support your respective positions on the relying of supervisors and structure.

MR. SANDERS: Thank you.

HONORABLE MALDONADO: At the very least, it may have an impact such as mens rea. Now that being said, detective, I'm going to address you.

If I should find you guilty of any specifications, I will review your employment record in

connection with a recommendation that I will make as to penalty. The review will generally include prior disciplinary actions if any; monitoring, if any; Department recognition, if any; consideration of your last three performance evaluations. You have the right, and this is what's important, to review your employment record. If there's anything there that is missing besides what I just mentioned that you wish to have considered, have your attorney submit it to this tribunal within a week. A draft of my report and recommendation will be sent to you through your attorney for comment and your comments on the draft decision will be sent to the Police Commissioner along with my report and recommendation.

And with that we rest and close.

(Time noted: 3:50 P.M.)

17

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

| | | 10 | _ |
|----|---|------|---|
| 1 | INDEX | | |
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | WITNESS: | PAGE | |
| 4 | SERGEANT CHRISTOPHER MORANO | 20 | |
| 5 | DIRECT EXAMINATION | 21 | |
| 6 | CROSS EXAMINATION | 39 | |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR JOSEPH LODISPOTO | 50 | |
| 9 | DIRECT EXAMINATION | 51 | |
| 10 | | | |
| 11 | LIEUTENANT LATISHA WITTEN | 56 | |
| 12 | DIRECT EXAMINATION | 57 | |
| 13 | CROSS EXAMINATION | 64 | |
| 14 | RE-DIRECT | 71 | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | SERGEANT JUN FONG | 74 | |
| 17 | DIRECT EXAMINATION | 75 | |
| 18 | CROSS EXAMINATION | 83 | |
| 19 | RE-DIRECT | 89 | |
| 20 | RE-CROSS | 91 | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | SERGEANT DONOVAN HUNT | 92 | |
| 23 | DIRECT EXAMINATION | 93 | |
| 24 | CROSS EXAMINATION | 105 | |
| 25 | (Witness list continued on the next page) | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| 1 | WITNESS: | | PAGE |
|----|------------|------------------------------------|------|
| 2 | POLICE O | FFICER WILSON RICHARD | 111 |
| 3 | DIRECT 1 | EXAMINATION | 112 |
| 4 | CROSS EX | XAMINATION | 118 |
| 5 | | | |
| 6 | DETECTIV | E JAENICE SMITH | 123 |
| 7 | DIRECT 1 | EXAMINATION | 124 |
| 8 | CROSS EX | XAMINATION | 144 |
| 9 | | | |
| 10 | EXHIBITS | | PAGE |
| 11 | DEPARTMENT | I'S EXHIBITS | |
| 12 | NUMBER | DESCRIPTION | |
| 13 | 3A | AC Scott Henderson Official | 4 |
| 14 | | Interview Part 1 Recording and | |
| 15 | | Transcript 5/1/25 | |
| 16 | 4A | AC Scott Henderson Official | 4 |
| 17 | | Interview Part 2 Recording and | |
| 18 | | Transcript 5/1/25 | |
| 19 | 5 | AC Scott Henderson Official | 5 |
| 20 | | Interview Parts 1 and 2 Corrected | |
| 21 | 6 | Text Messages between Det. Jaenice | 5 |
| 22 | | Smith and AC Scott Henderson | |
| 23 | | 2/3/24 to 7/18/24 | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | (Exhibits | continued on the next page) | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| 1 | EXHIBITS | | PAGE | |
|----|------------------|--------------------------------------|------|--|
| 2 | DEPARTMENT | r'S EXHIBITS | | |
| 3 | NUMBER | DESCRIPTION | | |
| 4 | 7 | Text Messages between Det. Jaenice | 5 | |
| 5 | | Smith and AC Scott Henderson Part 2 | | |
| 6 | | 7/18/24 to 3/25/26 | | |
| 7 | 8 | Dt. Jaenice Smith Enrollment History | 7 6 | |
| 8 | 9 | Email from Melody Nieves to | 6 | |
| 9 | | Sgt. Christopher Morano | | |
| 10 | | Re: Remote Access | | |
| 11 | 10 | Email from Melody Nieves to | 6 | |
| 12 | | Sgt. Christopher Morano | | |
| 13 | | Re: Computer Login Data | | |
| 14 | 12 | Text Messages between Dt. Jaenice | 7 | |
| 15 | | Smith and Sgt. Jun Fong 4/17/24 | | |
| 16 | 13 | Text Messages between Dt. Jaenice | 7 | |
| 17 | | Smith and Sgt. Jun Fong 6/27/24 | | |
| 18 | 14 | Text Messages between Dt. Jaenice | 7 | |
| 19 | | Smith and Sgt. Jun Fong | | |
| 20 | | 11/6/24 to 12/21/24 | | |
| 21 | 15 | Charges and Specifications and Plea | 8 | |
| 22 | | Agreement AC Scott Henderson | | |
| 23 | 16 | Adjudicated Command Discipline | 8 | |
| 24 | | Lt. Latisha Witten | | |
| 25 | (Exhibits | continued on the next page) | | |
| | | | | |
| | i e | | | |

| | | | | T82 |
|----|------------------|------------------------------------|------|-----|
| 1 | EXHIBITS | | PAGE | |
| 2 | DEPARTMENT | 'S EXHIBITS | | |
| 3 | NUMBER | DESCRIPTION | | |
| 4 | 17 | Adjudicated Command Discipline | 8 | |
| 5 | | Sgt. Jun Fong | | |
| 6 | 18 | Adjudicated Command Discipline | 8 | |
| 7 | | Sgt. Donovan Hunt | | |
| 8 | 19 | Dt. Jaenice Smith Payment Analysis | 8 | |
| 9 | | | | |
| 10 | | | | |
| 11 | | | | |
| 12 | | | | |
| 13 | | | | |
| 14 | | | | |
| 15 | | | | |
| 16 | | | | |
| 17 | | | | |
| 18 | | | | |
| 19 | | | | |
| 20 | | | | |
| 21 | | | | |
| 22 | | | | |
| 23 | | | | |
| 24 | | | | |
| 25 | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

| 1 | CERTIFICATE |
|----|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | STATE OF NEW YORK) |
| 5 | ss: |
| 6 | COUNTY OF KINGS) |
| 7 | |
| 8 | I, VANESSA WALKER, a shorthand reporter within |
| 9 | and for the State of New York, do hereby certify that |
| 10 | the within is a true and accurate transcript of the |
| 11 | statement taken on 11/05/2025. |
| 12 | I further certify that I am not related to any |
| 13 | of the parties to this action by blood or by marriage, |
| 14 | and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of |
| 15 | this matter. |
| 16 | IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand |
| 17 | this 5th day of November, 2025. |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | Vanessa Wacker |
| 21 | Vanessa Walker |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | |
| | |